This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2013.4293

1	Revision 1
2	Oxy-schorl, Na(Fe ²⁺ ₂ Al)Al ₆ Si ₆ O ₁₈ (BO ₃) ₃ (OH) ₃ O, a new mineral from Zlatá Idka, Slovak
3	Republic and Přibyslavice, Czech Republic
4	
5	Peter Bačík ¹ *, Jan Cempírek ^{2,3} , Pavel Uher ¹ , Milan Novák ⁴ , Daniel Ozdín ¹ , Jan Filip ⁵ , Radek
6	Škoda ⁴ , Karel Breiter ⁶ , Mariana Klementová ⁷ and Rudolf Ďuďa ⁸
7	
8	¹ Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, Comenius University, Mlynská dolina, 842 15
9	Bratislava, Slovakia
10	² Department of Mineralogy and Petrography, Moravian Museum, Zelný trh 6, 659 37 Brno,
11	Czech Republic
12	³ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia,
13	6339 Stores Road, Vancouver, BC, V6T 164 Canada
14	⁴ Department of Geological Sciences, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech
15	Republic
16	⁵ Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Palacký University in Olomouc,
17	17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic
18	⁶ Geological Institute of the Academy of Science of Czech Republic, v.v.i., Rozvojová 269,
19	165 00 Praha 6, Czech Republic
20	⁷ Institute of Physics of the AS CR, v.v.i., Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic
21	⁸ Bystrická 87, 040 11 Košice, Slovakia
22	*E-mail: <u>bacikp@fns.uniba.sk</u>
23	
24	ABSTRACT
25	Oxy-schorl (IMA 2011-011), ideally $Na(Fe^{2+}_2Al)Al_6Si_6O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3O$, a new
26	mineral species of the tourmaline supergroup, is described. In Zlatá Idka, Slovak Republic
27	(type locality), fan-shaped aggregates of greenish black acicular crystals ranging up to 2 cm in
28	size, forming aggregates up to 3.5 cm thick were found in extensively metasomatically altered
29	metarhyolite pyroclastics with Qtz+Ab+Ms. In Přibyslavice, Czech Republic (co-type
30	locality), abundant brownish black subhedral, columnar crystals of oxy-schorl, up to 1 cm in
31	size, arranged in thin layers, or irregular clusters up to 5 cm in diameter, occur in a foliated
32	$muscovite-tourmaline \ orthogneiss \ associated \ with \ Kfs+Ab+Qtz+Ms+Bt+Grt. \ Oxy-schorl$
33	from both localities has a Mohs hardness of 7 with no observable cleavage and parting. The
34	measured and calculated densities are 3.17(2) and 3.208 g cm ⁻³ (Zlatá Idka) and 3.19(1) and

3.198 g cm⁻³ (Přibyslavice), respectively. In plane polarized light, oxy-schorl is pleochroic – 35 O = green to bluish-green, E = pale vellowish to nearly colorless (Zlatá Idka) and O = dark 36 37 greyish-green, E = pale brown (Přibyslavice), uniaxial negative, $\omega = 1.663(2)$, $\varepsilon = 1.641(2)$ (Zlatá Idka) and $\omega = 1.662(2)$; $\varepsilon = 1.637(2)$ (Přibyslavice). Oxy-schorl is trigonal, space group 38 R3m, Z=3, a = 15.916(3) Å, c = 7.107(1) Å, V = 1559.1(4) Å³ (Zlatá Idka) and a = 15.985(1)39 Å, c = 7.154(1) Å, V = 1583.1(2) Å³ (Přibyslavice). The composition (average of 5 electron 40 41 microprobe analyses from Zlatá Idka and 5 from Přibyslavice) is (in wt.%): SiO₂ 33.85 42 (34.57), TiO₂ <0.05 (0.72), Al₂O₃ 39.08 (33.55), Fe₂O₃ not determined (0.61), FeO 11.59 43 (13.07), MnO <0.06 (0.10), MgO 0.04 (0.74), CaO 0.30 (0.09), Na₂O 1.67 (1.76), K₂O <0.02 44 (0.03), F 0.26 (0.56), Cl 0.01 (<0.01), B₂O₃ (calc.) 10.39 (10.11), H₂O (from the crystalstructure refinement) 2.92 (2.72), sum 99.29 (98.41) for Zlatá Idka and Přibyslavice (in 45 parenthesis). A combination of EMPA, Mössbauer spectroscopy and crystal-structure 46 47 refinement vields empirical formulae $(Na_{0.591}Ca_{0.103}\square_{0.306})_{\Sigma 1.000}(Al_{1.885}Fe^{2+}_{1.108}Mn_{0.005}Ti_{0.002})_{\Sigma 3.000}(Al_{5.428}Mg_{0.572})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.506}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma$ 48 $_{000}O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3(O_{0.625}OH_{0.236}F_{0.136}Cl_{0.003})_{\Sigma 1.000}$ 49 for Zlatá Idka. and $(Na_{0.586}Ca_{0.017}K_{0.006}\square_{0.391})_{\Sigma 1.000}(Fe^{2+}_{1.879}Mn_{0.015}Al_{1.013}Ti_{0.093})_{\Sigma 3.00}(Al_{5.732}Mg_{0.190}Fe^{3+}_{0.078})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si$ 50 5.944Al_{0.056})_{26.000}O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃(O_{0.579}F_{0.307}OH_{0.115})_{21.000} for Přibyslavice. Oxy-schorl is 51 52 derived from schorl end-member by the AlOFe₋₁(OH)₋₁ substitution. The studied crystals of oxy-schorl represent two distinct ordering mechanisms: disorder of R^{2+} and R^{3+} cations in 53 octahedral sites and all O ordered in the W site (Zlatá Idka), and R^{2+} and R^{3+} cations ordered 54 55 in the Y and Z sites and O disordered in the V and W sites (Přibyslavice).

56

57 Keywords: oxy-schorl, tourmaline-supergroup minerals, new mineral, electron
58 microanalysis, crystal-structure refinement, Přibyslavice, Zlatá Idka

- 59
- 60

INTRODUCTION

61

62 Minerals of tourmaline-supergroup are common in many geological environments. 63 Complexity of their structure, variability of structural sites and chemical composition are manifested in a relatively large number of mineral species (Henry et al. 2011). Oxy-schorl, 64 ideally Na(Fe²⁺₂Al)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃O, is a new member of the alkali group and oxy-65 series of the tourmaline supergroup (sensu nomenclature of Henry et al. 2011). The coupled 66 $^{Y}Al+^{W}O$ ${}^{Y}R^{2+}+{}^{W}(OH)$ 67 general substitution \leftrightarrow derived from ideal schorl NaFe²⁺₃Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃OH and leading to the ideal oxy-schorl was discussed already 68

69 by Foit and Rosenberg (1977); Povondra (1981), Povondra et al. (1985, 1987) and Foit (1989) 70 published several chemical analyses of tournalines corresponding to oxy-schorl including 71 samples from the co-type locality Přibyslavice (Povondra et al. 1987). However, the term 72 oxy-schorl was first introduced by Hawthorne and Henry (1999). Subsequently, oxy-schorl 73 was described from several localities worldwide (e.g., Henry and Dutrow 2001, Novák et al. 74 2004, Baksheev et al. 2011). Finally, oxy-schorl was defined as a potential new species of the 75 tourmaline supergroup in the recent tourmaline nomenclature (Henry et al. 2011). Oxy-schorl 76 is likely quite a common mineral species; however, many tourmaline compositions are close to the simplified formula $(Na_{0.5}\square_{0.5})Fe^{2+}AlAl_6Si_6O_{18}$ (BO₃)₃ (OH)₃ (OH_{0.5}O_{0.5}) (see e.g., 77 Povondra 1981, Foit 1989, Novák et al. 2004) and owing to problems with the determination 78 79 of H (and other light elements), exact classification of such schorlitic tournalines is 80 complicated.

81 Oxy-schorl was approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and 82 Classification of the International Mineralogical Association under the number IMA 2011-83 011. The holotype material from the type locality (Zlatá Idka, Slovak Republic) is preserved 84 in the collection of the East-Slovak Museum, Košice, Slovakia (specimen number G-12760), 85 and in the collection of Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, Comenius University, 86 Bratislava, Slovakia (specimen number 7279). Oxy-schorl from co-type locality (Přibyslavice, 87 Czech Republic) is deposited in the collections of the Department of Mineralogy and Petrography, Moravian Museum, Brno, Czech Republic, specimen number B10521. We 88 89 provide here a description of physical, chemical and structural characteristics of oxy-schorl as 90 a new mineral species.

91

92

OCCURRENCE AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

93

94 Oxy-schorl was found in fracture fillings cutting altered metarhyolite pyroclastics, in the 95 abandoned Marianna adit, ca 2.5 km WNW from Zlatá Idka village (48°46'7"N, 20°57'50"E), 96 Slovak Ore Mountains (Slovenské Rudohorie), near Košice, eastern Slovakia. The acid 97 metapyroclastic rocks of Middle Ordovician age belong to the Bystrý Potok Formation of the 98 Gelnica Group, Gemeric Superunit, Central Western Carpathians (Vozárová et al. 2010). 99 Associated minerals of the host-rock include quartz, albite and muscovite. Oxy-schorl is 100 probably a product of interaction between the metarhyolite pyroclastics and boron-enriched, 101 hydrothermal fluids generated from adjacent Permian tourmaline-bearing leucogranites. Oxy-102 schorl from Zlatá Idka occurs in fan-shaped aggregates of greenish black acicular crystals ranging up to 2 cm in size, with aggregates up to 3.5 cm across. Tourmaline aggregates
display chemical zoning in back-scattered electron (BSE) images (Fig. 1), locally with more
Mg-rich (dravite to oxy-dravite) and also *X*-site vacant composition ("□-Fe-O root name"
according to Henry et al., 2011) but oxy-schorl composition prevails.

107 The second occurrence of oxy-schorl is in a foliated muscovite-tourmaline orthogneiss 108 at Přibyslavice (Tisá skála outcrop, ~1 km ENE from Přibyslavice, 49°50'48"N, 15°25'1"E) 109 near Kutná Hora, Central Bohemia Region, Czech Republic. The host Lower Palaeozoic 110 muscovite-tourmaline alkali-feldspar granite was metamorphosed during the Variscan 111 orogeny in the amphibolite facies (Breiter et al., 2010). The orthogneiss is composed of K-112 feldspar (orthoclase perthite), albite, quartz, muscovite, biotite, garnet and apatite with 113 accessory zircon, magnetite, pyrite and ilmenite. Oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice formed as a 114 primary magmatic mineral of the granite, but its composition was influenced by the later 115 metamorphic processes (e.g., Povondra et al. 1987, 1998). It forms abundant subhedral, 116 columnar homogeneous crystals, up to 1 cm in size, arranged in thin layers, or irregular 117 clusters up to 5 cm in diameter.

118 Oxy-schorl from both localities has vitreous luster and is translucent in thin edges, non-119 fluorescent and paramagnetic. Its Mohs hardness is 7, it is brittle and has conchoidal fracture; 120 cleavage and parting were not observed. The streak is pale grey. The density was measured using a pycnometric method as 3.17(2) and 3.19(1) g cm⁻³; calculated density using empirical 121 formula and unit-cell data yields 3.208 and 3.198 g cm⁻³ for oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka and 122 123 Přibyslavice, respectively. Oxy-schorl is negative uniaxial with the following optical properties: $\omega = 1.663(2)$, $\varepsilon = 1.641(2)$, birefrigence: 0.022 (589.9 nm) in Zlatá Idka and $\omega =$ 124 125 1.662(2); $\varepsilon = 1.637(2)$; birefringence: 0.025 (589.9 nm) in Přibyslavice: At both localities, 126 oxy-schorl has distinct pleochroism; O = green to bluish-green, E = pale yellowish to nearly 127 colorless (Zlatá Idka) and O = dark greyish-green, E = pale brown (Přibyslavice).

- 128
- 129 130

ANALYTICAL METHODS

131

Chemical composition

132 Representative chemical analyses (5 from Zlatá Idka, 5 from Přibyslavice) were carried 133 out on crystals used for structure refinement using a CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe 134 (WDS mode, 15 kV, 10 and 20 nA, 5 μ m beam diameter) and the following standards: 135 almandine (Si*K* α , Fe*K* α), titanite (Ti*K* α), sanidine (Al*K* α , K*K* α), chromite (Cr*K* α), vanadinite 136 (V*K* α), spessartine (Mn*K* α), MgO (Mg*K* α), grossular (Ca*K* α), albite (Na*K* α), topaz (F*K* α) and

137 NaCl (ClK α). Detection limits of the measured elements vary between 0.01 and 0.05 wt.%. 138 Formulae of tourmalines were calculated on a basis of 15 Y+Z+T cations. H₂O was calculated 139 on the basis of electroneutral formula and structure refinement results. The presence of H_2O was confirmed by IR spectroscopy. B₂O₃ was calculated from ideal formulae since the 140 structure refinement data indicate full occupancy of the *B*-site and absence of $^{[4]}B$ in the *T* site. 141 142 Ti and Cl were below detection limits (0.05 and 0.01 wt.%, respectively). Analytical data are given in Table 1. The content of Li in oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka was determined by LA-ICP-143 144 MS analysis with a laser ablation system UP 213 (New Wave, USA) and quadrupole ICP-MS 145 spectrometer Agilent 7500 CE (Agilent, Japan), at the Central European Institute of 146 Technology, Masaryk University, Brno. It was always lower than a detection limit which 147 corresponded to 0.04 wt. % Li₂O. Oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice yielded Li₂O \leq 0.06 wt. % 148 determined by wet chemical analysis (Povondra et al. 1987).

149

150 Mössbauer spectroscopy

The ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of powdered tourmaline (ground under acetone using an 151 agate mortar) was acquired at constant acceleration mode using a ⁵⁷Co in Rh source at room 152 153 temperature (293 K), at the Department of Nuclear Physics, Slovak Technical University, 154 Bratislava, Slovakia (Zlatá Idka) and Centre for Nanomaterial Research, Faculty of Science, Palacký University in Olomouc (Přibyslavice). The isomer shift was calibrated against an α -155 156 Fe foil at room temperature. Spectra were fitted by Lorentz functions using the NORMOS 157 program (Brand 1997) on the Zlatá Idka sample and CONFIT2000 program (Žák and 158 Jirásková 2006) on the Přibyslavice sample. The fitting results are listed in Table 2.

159

160 Infrared spectroscopy

The FTIR spectrum of tourmaline from Přibyslavice was recorded using a Nicolet Nexus 670 spectrometer equipped with DTGS detector and XT-KBr beamsplitter. The sample was prepared by mixing 1 mg of powdered sample with 300 mg of KBr (dried beforehand at 150 °C) and pressing in an evacuated die at 10 tons. A total of 32 scans in air were carried out for the sample in the wavenumber range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The spectrum is shown in Figure 2, and a basic interpretation of the peaks (after Reddy et al. 2007) is listed in Table 3.

168

169 **Thermogravimetric analysis**

170 Thermal decomposition of oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka and Přibyslavice was studied in 171 an inert atmosphere (Ar) using a simultaneous thermal analyzer (STA 449 C Jupiter, Netzsch) 172 including both thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry 173 (DSC) in the range of 30 - 1100 °C on the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Comenius 174 University in Bratislava (Zlatá Idka) and Department of Physics, Palacký University in 175 Olomouc (Přibyslavice). The sample from Zlatá Idka was placed into Pt crucible with lid and dynamically heated with a heating rate of 20 Kmin⁻¹. TG correction: 020/5000 mg, DSC 176 177 correction: 020/50 mV. The Přibyslavice sample was dynamically heated in open alumina 178 crucible with a heating rate of 5 K/min.

179 180

181 **Powder X-ray diffraction**

182 Powder XRD measurements of oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka were made on the BRUKER D8 Advance diffractometer (Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, Faculty of Natural 183 184 Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia) under the following conditions: Bragg-Brentano geometry, Cu anticathode, NiKB filters, accelerating voltage: 40 kV, beam 185 186 current: 40 mA. Data was obtained by the BRUKER LynxEye detector. The step size was $0.01^{\circ} 2\theta$, the step time was 5 s per one step, and the range of measurement was $4 - 65^{\circ} 2\theta$. 187 Measured data was fitted and lattice parameters were refined with DIFFRAC^{plus} TOPAS 188 189 software (Bruker 2010) using pseudo-Voight function. Indexed diffraction data are listed in 190 Table 4.

Powder XRD data for oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice were recorded with a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer (Co $K\alpha$ radiation) in Bragg-Brentano geometry, equipped with an X'Celerator detector and programmable divergence and diffracted beam anti-scatter slits. Diffraction pattern of the sample on a zero-background Si slide was scanned with a step size of 0.017° in 2θ range 5-90°. Data were indexed and refined with Stoe WinXPow package (version 1.06), using built-in Treor (Werner et al. 1985) and least-square refinement routines (Stoe and Cie 1999). Indexed diffraction data are listed in Table 5.

198

199 Crystal structure refinement

Single-crystal X-ray studies were carried out using a 4-circle Oxford Diffraction KM 4/Xcalibur diffractometer with a Sapphire2 (large Be window) CCD detector. The CrysAlis
 (Oxford Diffraction Ltd) and SHELXTL (PC Version) (Sheldrick 2000) program packages

203 were used for data reduction and structure refinement, respectively, using neutral scattering 204 factors and anomalous dispersion corrections. The structure of oxy-schorl was refined in R3m 205 and converged to a final R index of 3.32% for Zlatá Idka and 1.91% for Přibyslavice data. 206 Crystal and refinement details of tourmaline from Zlatá Idka are listed in Table 6, structural 207 data are summarized in Tables 7 to 9 and bond-valence table is presented in Table 10. Crystal 208 and refinement details of tourmaline from Přibyslavice are listed in Table 11 and structural 209 data are summarized in Tables 12 to 14; its bond-valence table is presented in Table 15. 210 211 212 213 RESULTS 214 215 The samples of oxy-schorls from Zlatá Idka and Přibyslavice display some differences in 216 chemical composition and site allocation. A combination of EMPA, Mössbauer spectroscopy 217 and crystal-structure refinement yields following empirical formulae: $(Na_{0.591}Ca_{0.103} \ _{0.306})_{\Sigma 1.000}(Al_{1.885}Fe^{2+}_{1.108}Mn_{0.005}Ti_{0.002})_{\Sigma 3.000}(Al_{5.428}Mg_{0.572})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.506}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si_{5.500}Al_{0.494})_{$ 218 219 $_{000}O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3(O_{0.625}OH_{0.236}F_{0.136}Cl_{0.003})_{\Sigma 1.000}$ and $(Na_{0.586}Ca_{0.017}K_{0.006}\square_{0.391})_{\Sigma 1.000}(Fe^{2+}_{1.879}Mn_{0.015}Al_{1.013}Ti_{0.093})_{\Sigma 3.00}(Al_{5.732}Mg_{0.190}Fe^{3+}_{0.078})_{\Sigma 6.000}(Si$ 220 221 5.944Al_{0.056})_{26.000}O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃(O_{0.579}F_{0.307}OH_{0.115})_{21.000} for oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka and 222 Přibyslavice, respetively. They are in good agreement with the end-member formula Na(Fe²⁺₂Al)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃O requiring SiO₂ 35.22, Al₂O₃ 34.87, FeO 14.04, Na₂O 223 224 3.03, B₂O₃ 10.20, H₂O 2.64, total 100.00 wt.%. As suggested by the empirical formulae, oxy-225 schorl from Zlatá Idka is moderately disordered in the octahedral sites, while disorder in oxy-226 schorl from Přibyslavice is only negligible. 227 The content of OH⁻ was calculated from electroneutral formula based on the crystal-228 structure refinement and Mössbauer spectroscopy data. Ferric iron takes only 4 % of all Fe in 229 oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice and it was not detected in the sample from Zlatá Idka. The 230 content of H_2O was also measured using TGA; the TGA curve shows a mass change -2.96 % (Zlatá Idka) and -2.69 % (Přibyslavice) at ca. 950-1020 °C which corresponds to breakdown 231 of the structure and release of water (bound in form of OH⁻). Reduced content of ^WOH is also 232 supported by the low intensity of the O-H stretching peak at 3628 cm⁻¹ in the infrared 233 234 absorption spectrum (Fig. 2). With regard to the possible chemical inhomogeneity of the

235 samples (Fig. 1), the calculated H₂O contents were preferred to the TGA results.

236	Both tourmalines slightly differ structurally as represented by lattice parameters: $a =$
237	15.9074(9) Å, $c = 7.1039(2)$ Å, $V = 1557.4(2)$ Å ³ (powder XRD) and $a = 15.916(3)$ Å, $c = 15.916(3)$ Å
238	7.107(1) Å, V = 1559.1(4) Å ³ (crystal-structure refinement) for Zlatá Idka and $a =$
239	15.9865(8), $c = 7.1608(3)$ Å, $V = 1584.9(2)$ Å ³ (powder XRD) and $a = 15.985(1)$ Å, $c = 15.985(1)$ Å
240	7.154(1) Å, V = 1583.1(2) Å ³ (crystal-structure refinement) for Přibyslavice. Differences in
241	lattice parameters result from different Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} occupancies in Y, Z and T sites in
242	both tourmalines.

243 Despite all differences between studied samples, they both belong to alkali group (Fig. 3a), they represent oxy species (Fig. 3b) and their contents of Fe and Mg correspond to the 244 245 composition of oxy-schorl (Fig. 3c).

- 246
- 247 248

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

249 Oxy-schorl is chemically and structurally related to schorl. The name oxy-schorl has 250 been abundantly used for tourmalines with the composition similar to schorl but containing 251 more than 6.5 *apfu* Al, and O in the W site if known (e.g. Hawthorne and Henry 1999; Henry 252 and Dutrow 2001; Buriánek and Novák 2004, 2007; Novák et al. 2004; Ertl et al. 2010a, 253 2010b; Baksheev et al. 2011; Bosi 2011). Since the current classification of the tourmaline 254 supergroup (Henry et al. 2011) uses ordered formulae for tourmaline classification, it is 255 generally possible to recognize oxy-schorl from electron microprobe data using the approximate limits: Na > 0.5 apfu, Al > 6.5 apfu, Fe > Mg and F < 0.5 apfu. However, 256 257 ordering of ions in the structure of different samples can be variable. In the tourmaline 258 structure the W site is located on the 3-fold axis passing through the unit cell, and surrounded 259 by three Y sites (Hawthorne 1996, 2002). From the crystallographic point of view there are

two different possible arrangements: 1) W = OH or F with valence bond ca. 0.33 vu; 2) W = O260 261 - valence bond is ca. 0.67 vu (vu = valence units, Hawthorne 1996, 2002). The substitution of 262 O for OH results in the increase of charge requirements in the neighboring Y sites and the 263 substitution of Al for divalent cations, or disorder of divalent and trivalent cations among the 264 octahedral Y and Z sites. If the W site is fully occupied by O, the structural arrangements with $3^{Y}R^{2+}$ or $2^{Y}R^{2+}R^{3+}$ cations are less favorable than the arrangements with $3^{Y}R^{3+}$ or 265 $2^{Y}R^{3+}+{}^{Y}R^{2+}$ (Hawthorne 2002). Therefore, in natural samples with the mixed occupancy of the 266

W site, combination of $2^{Y}R^{2+}+{}^{Y}R^{3+}$ and $2^{Y}R^{3+}+{}^{Y}R^{2+}$ arrangements is the most probable. 267

268 The crystal-structure refinement of oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka showed that significant amount of divalent cations is allocated in the Z site, resulting in the content of ${}^{Y}Al^{3+}$ of 1.885 269

apfu, the possible Y site short-range arrangements favor dominant O^{2-} in W site. The observed 270 Al-Mg disorder in tourmalines was already studied (e.g., Grice and Ercit 1993; Hawthorne et 271 al. 1993; Bloodaxe et al. 1999; Bosi and Lucchesi 2004). Although the Fe²⁺-Al³⁺ disorder 272 273 could be allowed by local short- and long-range arrangements (Bosi 2011), Mg is more likely substituting for Al in the Z site due to its smaller ionic radii similar to Al^{3+} , as was observed in 274 275 the oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka. In contrast, the oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice shows only negligible disorder of Al and (Mg,Fe) in octahedral sites; the vast majority of R^{2+} (Fe²⁺ >> 276 Mg) is allocated to the Y site. However, the calculated bond valence values for the O1 and O3 277 278 sites suggest a disorder of O and OH among the anion sites V and W (Table 15).

279 The formula of end-member oxy-schorl may be expressed either as 280 $Na(Fe^{2+}Al_2)(Fe^{2+}Al_5)Si_6O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3O$ with cations disordered in two structural sites, or disordered only in 281 with cations one structural site such as $NaAl_3(Al_4Fe^{2+}_2)Si_6O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3O$ and $Na(Fe^{2+}_2Al)Al_6Si_6O_{18}(BO_3)_3(OH)_3O$ – the formula 282 used in the valid nomenclature (Henry et al. 2011). It recommends allocation of trivalent 283 cations to the Z site initially, followed by assignment of the remainder of R^{3+} to Y site. 284 285 Nevertheless, this end-member formula could not be stable owing to the local charge 286 requirements, and the first formula with cations disordered in two sites is closely approaching 287 the composition of natural samples (Hawthorne 2002).

These two studied oxy-schorl samples confirm the two distinct ordering mechanisms in 288 289 natural oxy-tourmalines: (1) disorder of divalent and trivalent cations in octahedral sites and 290 all O ordered in the W site (favored by the Mg-bearing oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka); (2) 291 cations ordered in the Y and Z sites and O disordered in the V and W sites (in Fe-dominant 292 oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice). The elevated content of Mg in oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka (Fig. 293 3c, Table 1) very likely facilitates higher degree of disorder in Y and Z sites and higher 294 ordering in W site relative to Mg-poor oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice. Since formula with 295 ordered V and W sites is recommended for the classification purposes (Henry et al. 2011), 296 both compositions result in the same ordered formula that meets nomenclatural requirements 297 for oxy-schorl.

The presence of oxy-schorl does not necessary imply oxidizing geological environment. Mineral association in the Přibyslavice orthogneiss suggests more reductive conditions documented by magnetite and pyrite (e.g., Povondra et al. 1987, 1998). Thus the reasons of the formation of oxy-schorl in spite of schorl are different than high oxygen fugacity. It could take a part in oxy-tourmalines with an increased proportion of Fe^{3+} as buergerite (e.g. Donnay et al. 1966; Grice and Ercit 1993) or povondraite component (e.g. Grice et al. 1993; Bačík et

al. 2008; Baksheev et al. 2011; Novák et al. 2011), respectively, in which the deprotonization is driven by ${}^{Y}Fe^{2+} + {}^{W+V}OH^{-} \leftrightarrow {}^{Y}Fe^{3+} + {}^{W+V}O^{2-}$ reaction (Pieczka and Kraczka 2004; Bačík et al. 2011). In contrast, the deprotonization was driven by ${}^{Y}R^{2+} + {}^{W+V}OH^{-} \leftrightarrow {}^{Y}A1 + {}^{W+V}O^{2-}$ reaction in studied samples of oxy-schorl from both localities. Consequently, the deprotonization in studied oxy-schorls was likely the result of local charge-balance requirements owing to the excess of A1 and the formation of A1-enriched oxy-schorl is the result of the specific geochemistry of the host rock.

311

312 Acknowledgement:

Authors would like to thank Tomáš Vaculovič for LA-ICP-MS analysis. This work was supported by projects APVV-VVCE-0033-07 to PB, PU and DO and GAP210/10/0743 to JC, JF, MN and RŠ and by the Operational Program Research and Development for Innovations -European Regional Development Fund (Project No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0058 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic) to JF. We thank Martin Kunz and Fernando Colombo for editorial handling and for detailed reviews and fruitful discussion. This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2013.4293

321	R EFERENCES:
322	
323	Bačík, P., Uher, P., Sýkora, M., and Lipka, J. (2008) Low-Al tourmalines of the schorl-
324	dravite-povondraite series in redeposited tourmalinites from the Western Carpathians,
325	Slovakia. Canadian Mineralogist, 46, 1117–1129.
326	Bačík, P., Ozdín, D., Miglierini, M., Kardošová, P., Pentrák, M., and Haloda, J. (2011)
327	Crystallochemical effects of heat treatment on Fe-dominant tourmalines from Dolní
328	Bory (Czech Republic) and Vlachovo (Slovakia). Physics and Chemistry of Minerals,
329	38, 599–611.
330	Baksheev, I.A., Prokof'ev, V.Y., Yapaskurt, V.O., Vigasina, M.F., Zorina, L.D., and
331	Solov'ev, V.N. (2011) Ferric-iron-rich tourmaline from the Darasun gold deposit,
332	Transbaikalia, Russia. Canadian Mineralogist, 49, 263–276.
333	Bloodaxe, E.S., Hughes, J.M., Dyar, M.D., Grew, E.S., and Guidotti, C.V., (1999) Linking
334	structure and chemistry in the schorl-dravite series. American Mineralogist, 84, 922-
335	928.
336	Bosi, F. (2011) Stereochemical constraints in tourmaline: From a short-range to a long-range
337	structure. Canadian Mineralogist, 49, 17–27.
338	Bosi, F. and Lucchesi S. (2004) Crystal chemistry of the schorl-dravite series. European
339	Journal of Mineralogy, 16, 335–344
340	Brand, R.A. (1997) NORMOS: Mössbauer fitting program, version 1997, unpublished.
341	Breiter, K., Škoda, R. and Novák, M. (2010) Field stop 5: Přibyslavice near Čáslav - complex
342	of peraluminous phosphorus-rich tourmaline-bearing orthogneiss, and associated granite
343	and pegmatite with garnet, tourmaline, and primary Fe-Mn phosphates. In: Novák, M.
344	and Cempírek, J. (eds) Granitic pegmatites and mineralogical museums in the Czech
345	Republic. Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, Field Guide Series, 6, 29-36.
346	Bruker (2010) DIFFRAC ^{plus} TOPAS. http://www.bruker-axs.de/topas.html
347	Buriánek, D. and Novák, M. (2004) Morphological and compositional evolution of tourmaline
348	from nodular granite at Lavičky near Velké Meziříčí, Moldanubicum, Czech Republic.
349	Journal of Czech Geological Society, 49, 81–90.
350	Buriánek, D. and Novák, M. (2007) Compositional evolution and substitutions in
351	disseminated and nodular tourmaline from leucocratic granites: Examples from the
352	Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic. Lithos, 95, 148–164.

- Donnay, G., Ingamells, C. O., and Mason B. (1966) Buergerite, a new species of tourmaline.
 American Mineralogist, 51, 198–199.
- 355 Ertl, A., Marschall, H.R., Giester, G., Henry, D.J., Schertl, H.P., Ntaflos, T., Luvizotto, G.L.,
- Nasdala, L., and Tillmanns, E. (2010a): Metamorphic ultrahigh-pressure tourmaline:
 Structure, chemistry, and correlations to P-T conditions. American Mineralogist, 95, 1–
 10.
- Ertl, A., Rossman, G.R., Hughes, J.M., London, D., Wang, Y., O'Leary, J.A., Dyar, M.D.,
 Prowatke, S., Ludwig, T., and Tillmanns, E. (2010b) Tourmaline of the elbaite-schorl
 series from the Himalaya Mine, Mesa Grande, California: A detailed investigation.
 American Mineralogist, 95, 24–40.
- Foit, F.F. (1989) Crystal chemistry of alkali-deficient schorl and tourmaline structural
 relationships. American Mineralogist, 74, 422–431.
- Foit, F.F. Jr. and Rosenberg, P.E. (1977) Coupled substitutions in the tourmaline group.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 62, 109–127.
- Grice, J.D. and Ercit, T.S. (1993) Ordering of Fe and Mg in tourmaline: The correct formula.
 Neues Jahrbuch fur Mineralogie Abhandlungen, 165, 245–266.
- Grice, J. D., Ercit, T. S., and Hawthorne, F. C. (1993) Povondraite, a redefinition of the
 tourmaline ferridravite. American Mineralogist, 78, 433–436.
- Hawthorne, F.C. (1996) Structural mechanisms for light elements in tourmaline. Canadian
 Mineralogist, 34, 123–132.
- Hawthorne, F.C. (2002) Bond-valence constraints on the chemical composition of tourmaline.
 Canadian Mineralogist, 40, 789–797.
- Hawthorne, F.C. and Henry, D.J. (1999) Classification of the minerals of the tourmaline
 group. European Journal of Mineralogy, 11, 201–215.
- Hawthorne, F.C., MacDonald, D.J., and Burns, P.C. (1993) Reassignment of cation site
 occupancies in tourmaline: Al-Mg disorder in the crystal structure of dravite. American
 Mineralogist, 78, 265–270.
- Henry, D.J. and Dutrow, B. (2001) Compositional zoning and element partitioning of
 nickeloan tourmaline in a metamorphosed karstbauxite from Samos, Greece. American
 Mineralogist, 86, 1130–1142.
- Henry, D., Novák, M., Hawthorne, F.C., Ertl, A., Dutrow, B., Uher, P., and Pezzotta, F.
 (2011) Nomenclature of the tourmaline supergroup-minerals. American Mineralogist,
 96, 895–913.
 - 12

- Novák, M., Povondra, P., and Selway, J.B. (2004) Schorl-oxy-schorl to dravite-oxy-dravite tourmaline from granitic pegmatites; examples from the Moldanubicum, Czech
- 388 Republic. European Journal of Mineralogy, 16, 323–333.
- Novák, M., Škoda, R., Filip, J., Macek, I., and Vaculovič, T. (2011) Compositional trends in
 tourmaline from intragranitic NYF pegmatites of the Třebíč Pluton, Czech Republic:
- 391 electron microprobe, Mössbauer and LA-ICP-MS study. Canadian Mineralogist, 49,
 392 359–380.
- Pieczka, A. and Kraczka, J. (2004): Oxidized tourmalines a combined chemical, XRD and
 Mõssbauer study. European Journal of Mineralogy, 16, 309–321.
- Povondra, P. (1981) The crystal chemistry of tourmalines of the schorl-dravite series. Acta
 Universitatis Carolinae, Geologica, 223–264.
- Povondra, P., Čech, F., and Staněk, J. (1985) Crystal chemistry of elbaites from some
 pegmatites of the Czech Massif. Acta Universitatis Carolinae, Geologica, 1–24.
- Povondra, P., Lang, M., Pivec, E., and Ulrych, J. (1998) Tourmaline from the Přibyslavice
 peraluminous alkali-feldspar granite, Czech Republic. Journal of Czech Geological
 Society, 43, 3–8.
- 402 Povondra, P., Pivec, E., Čech, F., Lang, M., Novák, F., Prachař, I., and Ulrych, J. (1987)
 403 Přibyslavice peraluminous granite. Acta Universitatis Carolinae, Geologica, 183–283.
- 404 Reddy, B.J., Frost, R.L., Martens, W.N., Wain, D.L. and Kloprogge, J.T. (2007)
 405 Spectroscopic characterization of Mn-rich tourmalines. Vibrational Spectroscopy, 44,
 406 42–49.
- 407 Sheldrick, G. M. (2000) SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin,
 408 USA
- 409 Stoe & Cie (1999) WinXPow. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- 410 Vozárová, A., Šarinová, K., Larionov, A., Presnyakov, S., and Sergeev, S. (2010) Late
 411 Cambrian/Ordovician magmatic arc type volcanism in the Southern Gemericum
 412 basement, Western Carpathians, Slovakia: U-Pb (SHRIMP) data from zircons.
 413 International Journal of Earth Sciences, 99 (Suppl. 1), 17–37.
- Werner, P.-E., Eriksson, L., and Westdahl, M. (1985) TREOR, a semi-exhaustive trial-anderror powder indexing program for all symmetries. Journal of Applied Crystallography,
 18, 367-370.
- Žák, T. and Jirásková, Y. (2006) CONFIT: Mössbauer spectra fitting program. Surface and
 Interface Analysis, 38, 710–714.
- 419

387

- 421 Figure 1. BSE image of oxy-schorl from Zlatá Idka. The zoning is given by the variation in
- 422 Fe, Mg and Al content; dark grey zone corresponds to transitional oxy-schorl to "D-Fe-O root
- 423 name" tourmaline composition.

424

- 425 Figure 2. FTIR spectrum of oxy-schorl from Přibyslavice.
- 426
- 427 Figure 3. Ternary diagrams for minerals of tourmaline group used for determination of
- 428 dominant occupancy at the X(a), W(b) and Y site (c).

429

430 TABLE 1. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND FORMULA OF OXY-SCHORL FROM ZLATÁ IDKA AND

Přibyslavice

	Zlatá l	ldka]	Přibysla	avice	
SiO2 wt%	33.10	Si apfu	5.506	SiO ₂ wt%	34.57	Si apfu	5.944
TiO ₂	0.02	^z Al	0.494	TiO ₂	0.72	^Z Al	0.056
B_2O_3*	10.45	Sum T	6.000	B_2O_3*	10.11	Sum T	6.000
Al_2O_3	39.81			Al_2O_3	33.55		
FeO	7.97	В	3.000	Fe ₂ O ₃	0.61	В	3.000
MgO	2.31			FeO	13.07		
MnO	0.03	^z Al	5.428	MnO	0.10	^Z Al	5.732
CaO	0.58	^Z Mg	0.572	MgO	0.74	^Z Mg	0.190
Na ₂ O	1.83	Sum Z	6.000	CaO	0.09	Fe ³⁺	0.078
F	0.26			K_2O	0.03	Sum Z	6.000
Cl	0.01	Ti	0.002	Na ₂ O	1.76		
H ₂ O**	2.92	^Y Al	1.885	Cl	0.00	^Y Al	1.013
O=F	0.11	Fe ²⁺	1.108	F	0.56	Ti ⁴⁺	0.093
Total	99.18	Mn	0.005	H_2O^{**}	2.72	Fe ²⁺	1.879
		Sum Y	3.000	-O = F,Cl	-0.24	Mn^{2+}	0.015
				Total	98.39	Sum Y	3.000
		Ca	0.103				
		Na	0.591			Ca	0.017
			0.306			Na	0.586
		Sum X	1.000			Κ	0.006
							0.391
		^V OH	3.000			Sum X	1.000
		^W OH	0.236			^V OH	3.000
		F	0.136				
		Cl	0.003			^W OH	0.115
		0	0.625			0	0.579
		Sum W	1.000			F	0.307

433 * calculated by structural refinement; ** calculated on the basis of electroneutral formula and

structure refinement results

437 TABLE 2. HYPERFINE PARAMETERS (MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY) OF OXY-SCHORL

438

436

	Isomer shift	Quadrupole splitting	Assignment	Relative abundance
	$(mm s^{-1})$	(mm s^{-1})		(%)
Zlatá Idka	0.98	2.45	$^{\rm Y1}{\rm Fe}^{2+}$	43
	0.98	2.13	Y2 Fe ²⁺	13
	0.98	1.64	$^{Y3}Fe^{2+}$	44
Přibyslavice	1.09	2.47	$^{\rm Y1}{\rm Fe}^{2+}$	37
	1.08	2.15	Y2 Fe ²⁺	35
	1.04	1.58	$^{Y3}Fe^{2+}$	25
	0.37	0.32	^Y Fe ³⁺	4

TABLE 3. IR SPECTROSCOPIC DATA FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM PŘIBYSLAVICE

439

440

441

442

Peak $[cm^{-1}]$ Assignment 400 - 840lattice vibrations 840 - 1200Si₆O₁₈ stretching vibrations (Fe,Mg)-OH bending vibrations 1200 - 2000BO3 stretching vibrations O-H stretching (at O3; overlapping peaks $\sim 3000-3600$ from variable configurations of Y- and Zsite cations around O3) 3600 - 3700O-H stretching (at O1; overlap of peaks from variable configurations of Y-site cations)

443

TABLE 4. POWDER X-RAY DIFFRACTION DATA FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM ZLATÁ IDKA.

THE 5 STRONGEST LINES ARE HIGHLIGHTED

h	k	l	d _{obs.} [Å]	I [%]	d _{calc.} [Å]	h	k	l	d _{obs.} [Å]	I [%]	d _{calc.} [Å]
1	0	1	6.314(1)	10	6.3130	1	0	4	1.7614(7)	6	1.7620
0	2	1	4.945(2)	8	4.9471	6	3	0	1.7356(8)	7	1.7364
0	3	0	4.592(2)	7	4.5940	0	7	2	1.7215(8)	4	1.7222
2	1	1	4.200(2)	25	4.2013	5	3	2	1.7215(8)	4	1.7222
2	2	0	3.977(2)	53	3.9785	0	2	4	1.7197(7)	5	1.7204
0	1	2	3.439(1)	53	3.4407	5	4	1	1.7119(8)	7	1.7126
1	3	1	3.365(1)	11	3.3664	2	6	2	1.6825(8)	9	1.6832
2	0	2	3.157(1)	3	3.1581	2	1	4	1.6809(7)	13	1.6815
4	0	1	3.099(1)	4	3.1003	0	8	1	1.6736(8)	6	1.6743
4	1	0	3.006(1)	13	3.0075	0	6	3	1.6484(7)	26	1.6490
1	2	2	2.934(1)	59	2.9354	6	0	3	1.6484(7)	26	1.6490
3	2	1	2.888(1)	6	2.8888	2	7	1	1.6377(8)	38	1.6384
3	1	2	2.602(1)	3	2.6025	2	5	3	1.6141(7)	3	1.6147
0	5	1	2.569(1)	100	2.5699	5	2	3	1.6141(7)	3	1.6147
0	0	3	2.3680(9)	14	2.3688	1	3	4	1.6105(7)	7	1.6111
2	3	2	2.361(1)	10	2.3621	5	5	0	1.5907(8)	48	1.5914
5	1	1	2.336(1)	13	2.3376	4	5	2	1.5798(7)	15	1.5805
5	0	2	2.177(1)	6	2.1779	4	0	4	1.5785(7)	6	1.5791
4	3	1	2.158(1)	8	2.1587	8	1	1	1.5724(7)	11	1.5731
3	0	3	2.1046(9)	4	2.1054	8	0	2	1.5495(7)	7	1.5502
0	3	3	2.1046(9)	4	2.1054	3	2	4	1.5483(6)	2	1.5489
4	2	2	2.0998(9)	2	2.1007	4	6	1	1.5425(7)	13	1.5432
2	2	3	2.0346(9)	37	2.0354	0	9	0	1.5307(7)	11	1.5313
1	5	2	2.0303(9)	41	2.0311	4	4	3	1.5228(7)	8	1.5234
1	6	1	2.0146(9)	10	2.0155	7	2	2	1.5209(7)	4	1.5216
4	4	0	1.9884(9)	3	1.9893	7	3	1	1.5143(7)	3	1.5150
3	4	2	1.9096(9)	54	1.9104	1	7	3	1.4454(6)	8	1.4459
4	1	3	1.8602(8)	3	1.8609	7	1	3	1.4454(6)	8	1.4459
1	4	3	1.8602(8)	3	1.8609	6	4	2	1.4438(7)	4	1.4444
6	2	1	1.8449(9)	9	1.8456	5	1	4	1.4428(6)	15	1.4433
3	3	3	1.7661(8)	8	1.7668						

TABLE 5. POWDER X-RAY DIFFRACTION DATA FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM PŘIBYSLAVICE.

450 451

k l

h

 $d_{\text{obs.}}$ [Å]

I [%]

dcalc. [Å]

THE 5 STRONGEST LINES ARE HIGHLIGHTED

h

k l $d_{\text{obs.}}$ [Å]

I [%]

d_{calc.} [Å]

1.692

1.659

1.345

1.3056

1.293

1.2913

1.2869

1.2799

1.2764

1.2721

2 1.7333(2) 0 1 6.364(4) 0 4 1.7332 1 75 6.3604 2 2 1 4.9775(7) 4.977 5 3 0 28 2 1.7312(1) 1.5 1.7312 2 1.6920(1) 3 0 0 4.616(1) 4.6149 2 6 12 1.9 2 1 1 4.2254(6) 48 4.225 6 0 3 1.6589(2) 14.1 2 2 0 3.9969(5) 3.9966 2 7 1 1.6461(1)6.7 1.6461 52 2 0 1 1 3 4 1.6227(3) 1.6225 3.4664(1)100 3.4664 0.6 1 3 1 3.3839(3) 6 3.384 5 5 0 1.5986(2) 7.3 1.5987 2 0 2 3.1803(2) 4 0 4 1.5896(6) 1.5901 1 3.1802 2.4 2 1.5804(1) 4 0 1 3.1164(3)3.1163 8 1 1 0.7 1.5804 4 1 0 3.0211(3) 8 3.0212 3 2 4 1.5591(5) 1.5595 1 2 2 2.9549(1) 1 79 2.9549 4 6 1 1.5504(1)1.9 1.5504 3 2 1 2.9035(2)5 2.9034 9 0 0 1.5383(1) 1.8 1.5383 3 1 2 2.6188(3) 3 2.6186 7 2 2 1.5293(1) 1.6 1.5293 0 5 1 2.5826(1) 65 2.5826 7 3 1 1.5221(1) 0.8 1.5221 0 4 2 2.4883(3) 3 2.4885 8 2 0 1.5105(2) 2.6 1.5106 5 2 4 1 2.4576(2) 3 2.4575 0 4 1.5033(2) 9.3 1.5034 0 0 3 2.3868(2) 12 2.3869 2 4 4 1.4772(4) 2.3 1.4775 2 3 2 2.3761(2) 16 2.376 5 1 4 1.4528(2) 10.2 1.4529 2.3490(1) 5 1 1 9 2.349 7 0 1.4355(2) 1.4356 4 1.6 6 0 0 2.3072(4) 1 2.3075 0 1 5 1.4247(2) 3.1 1.4246 1 3 2.2869(3) 2.2871 5 1 1.4224(1) 3.5 1.4224 1 1 6 5 2 0 2.2171(3) 1 4 3 4 1.4072(2) 2.2169 6.6 1.4071 5 0 2 2.1903(2) 9 2.1904 3 8 1 1.3793(2) 1.3794 0.6 1 2.1692(2) 4 3 7 10 0 1.3593(1)2.1691 1 3.8 1.3593 3 3 0 2.1200(2)11 2.1201 9 1 2 1.3450(1) 2 2 2 2.1125(1) 4 0 1.3321(2) 1.2 1.3322 4 2.1125 6 6 2 2 3 2.0494(2)12 2.0493 7 0 4 1.3277(5) 2.8 1.3273 1 5 2 2.0423(2) 31 2.0424 0 4 5 1.3234(0) 1.3234 1.7 5 0 1.3140(2) 1 6 1 2.0252(2)2.0251 10 1 3.5 1.3141 4 4 0 1.9983(1) 2 1.9983 8 3 2 1.3087(2) 1 1.3085

2

9

0

8

9

8

5

3

0

4

3

2

0 5

5 1.3055(2)

3 1.2931(2)

1 1.2869(1)

0 1.2799(1)

3 1.2765(2)

1.2720(2)

10 2 1.2913(1)

1.2

0.5

0.7

0.8

1.3

1

4.1

452

3 4

7 0 1

4 1 3

6

7 1 0

6 1 2

3 3 3

2 1

2 1.9207(2)

1.9065(2)

1.8729(1)

1.8545(2)

1.8340(3)

1.8186(2)

1.7779(1)

 $1 \quad 0 \quad 4 \quad 1.7754(1)$

17

2

8

4

1

2

3

3

1.9208

1.9064

1.8729

1.8544

1.8338

1.8187

1.7779

1.7754

a = 15.916(3) Å	Space group: R3m
c = 7.1071(12) Å	MoK α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
$V = 1559.1(4) \text{ Å}^3$	Cell parameters from 1225 reflections
Z = 3	-
Elongated grain, brown	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$\theta = 3.2 - 36.1^{\circ}$	$(-26 \le h \le 17, -17 \le k \le 26, -11 \le l \le 11)$
$\mu = 1.68 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	F(000) = 1468
T = 293 K	
Reflections measured:	3174
Independent reflections:	1474
Reflections $> 2\sigma$:	1111
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	(Δ/σ) max = <0.001
$w\bar{R}(F^2) = 0.066$	extinction coef. : none
S = 0.84	92 parameters refined
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.67 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	$\Delta \hat{\rho_{\min}} = -0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

457 TABLE 7. FRACTIONAL ATOMIC COORDINATES AND ISOTROPIC OR EQUIVALENT ISOTROPIC

DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (Å²) OF OXY-SCHORL FROM ZLATÁ IDKA

	X	У	Z	Uiso*/Ueq	Occ. (<1)
Na	0.0000	0.0000	0.0825 (5)	0.0229 (12)	0.859 (14)
Y(Al)	0.12237 (7)	0.06118 (4)	0.50346 (13)	0.0119 (3)	0.799 (7)
Y(Fe)	0.12237 (7)	0.06118 (4)	0.50346 (13)	0.0119 (3)	0.201 (7)
Z(Al)	0.29700 (6)	0.36937 (6)	1.14311 (12)	0.0104 (2)	0.959 (5)
Si	0.19214 (5)	0.19002 (5)	0.86941 (10)	0.0080(2)	0.899 (5)
01	0.0000	0.0000	0.6394 (8)	0.0269 (12)	
O2	0.06060 (11)	0.1212 (2)	0.3556 (4)	0.0210 (7)	
O3	0.2620 (2)	0.13101 (12)	0.3745 (4)	0.0189 (7)	
O4	0.1869 (2)	0.09346 (11)	0.9640 (4)	0.0198 (6)	
O5	-0.1883 (2)	-0.09417 (11)	-0.0580 (4)	0.0192 (6)	
06	0.19549 (14)	0.18438 (14)	0.6403 (3)	0.0150 (4)	
O7	0.28759 (14)	0.28731 (13)	0.9447 (3)	0.0142 (4)	
08	0.20909 (14)	0.26975 (14)	1.3046 (3)	0.0145 (4)	
В	0.10971 (18)	0.2194 (4)	0.3182 (6)	0.0142 (8)	

464 TABLE 8. ATOMIC DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (Å²) OF OXY-SCHORL FROM ZLATÁ IDKA

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Na	0.0223 (15)	0.0223 (15)	0.024 (2)	0.0111 (8)	0.000	0.000
Y(Al)	0.0113 (5)	0.0098 (4)	0.0151 (5)	0.0057 (2)	-0.0019 (3)	-0.00095 (17)
Y(Fe)	0.0113 (5)	0.0098 (4)	0.0151 (5)	0.0057 (2)	-0.0019 (3)	-0.00095 (17)
Z(Al)	0.0113 (4)	0.0109 (4)	0.0098 (4)	0.0060 (3)	0.0001 (3)	-0.0004(3)
Si	0.0081 (4)	0.0082 (4)	0.0076 (3)	0.0041 (3)	-0.0002(3)	-0.0008(3)
01	0.0314 (19)	0.0314 (19)	0.018 (3)	0.0157 (9)	0.000	0.000
O2	0.0251 (13)	0.0147 (14)	0.0197 (14)	0.0073 (7)	0.0001 (6)	0.0002 (12)
O3	0.0291 (17)	0.0171 (11)	0.0144 (13)	0.0146 (8)	0.0005 (12)	0.0003 (6)
O4	0.0243 (16)	0.0168 (10)	0.0208 (14)	0.0121 (8)	-0.0001 (12)	0.0000 (6)
O5	0.0250 (16)	0.0188 (11)	0.0160 (13)	0.0125 (8)	0.0018 (11)	0.0009 (6)
06	0.0165 (10)	0.0169 (10)	0.0111 (8)	0.0080 (8)	0.0012 (7)	0.0008 (7)
O7	0.0135 (9)	0.0138 (9)	0.0133 (8)	0.0053 (8)	0.0002 (7)	-0.0003 (7)
08	0.0136 (9)	0.0152 (10)	0.0153 (9)	0.0075 (8)	-0.0001 (7)	0.0018 (7)
В	0.0172 (16)	0.015 (2)	0.0094 (16)	0.0077 (10)	-0.0002(7)	-0.0004 (14)

TABLE 9. SELECTED BOND LENGTHS FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM ZLATÁ IDKA

Site	Anion	Distance s.d.	Site	Anion	Distance	s.d.
X	O2 ⁱ	2.561 (4)	Ζ	O6 ^{vii}	1.869	(2)
	$O2^{ii}$	2.561 (4)		07	1.876	(2)
	O2	2.561 (4)		08	1.889	(2)
	O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.710 (3)		O8 ^{viii}	1.918	(2)
	O4 ^{iv}	2.710 (3)		$O7^{ix}$	1.925	(2)
	$O4^{v}$	2.710 (3)		O3 ^{vii}	1.9890	(15)
	$O5^{i}$	2.781 (3)		avg.	1.911	
	$O5^{ii}$	2.781 (3)				
	05	2.781 (3)	T	07	1.625	(2)
	avg.	2.684		$O5^{x}$	1.6298	(12)
				06	1.633	(2)
Y	01	1.944 (3)		O4	1.6412	(15)
	O6 ^{v1}	1.965 (2)			1.632	
	06	1.965 (2)				
	02	1.981 (2)	В	02	1.380	(6)
	$O2^1$	1.981 (2)		O8 ¹¹¹	1.373	(3)
	03	2.132 (3)		$O8^{xi}$	1.373	(3)
	avg.	1.995		avg.	1.375	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+y, -x, z; (ii) -y, x-y, z; (iii) x, y, z-1; (iv) -y, x-y, z-1; (v) -x+y, -x, z-1; (vi) x, x-y, z; (vii) -x+y+1/3, -x+2/3, z+2/3; (viii) -x+y+1/3, -x+2/3, z-1/3; (ix) -y+2/3, x-y+1/3, z+1/3; (x) -x+y, -x, z+1; (xi) -x+y, y, z-1.

	X	Y	Ζ	В	Т	Σ
	Na _{0.591} Ca _{0.10}	Al _{1.808}	Al _{5.5} Mg _{0.5}	В	Si _{5.509} Al _{0.491}	
	3	$Fe^{2+}_{1.105}$				
	$K_{0.004} \square_{0.302}$	Ti _{0.002}				
		$Mn_{0.005}$				
		$Mg_{0.079}$				
01*†		0.478				1.435
02	0.098	0.450		0.946		1.973
	0.098	0.450				
	0.098					
03*		0.299	0.405			1.109
04	0.065				0.960	1.986
	0.065					
	0.065					
05	0.054				0.991	2.035
	0.054					
	0.054					
06		0.469	0.560		0.982	2.012
		0.469				
07			0.550		1.003	2.035
			0.482			
08			0.531	0.995		2.016
			0.491	0.995		
Σ	0.649	2.616	3.019	2.965	3.937	
IC(avg)	0.801	2.603	2.917	3.000	3.918	
Δ	0.152	-0.013	-0.102	0.035	-0.019	
IC(avg) = a	verage ionic c	harge of ato	oms occupying	the site. *	Hydrogen bond	l donor.
† content o	f the O1 site is	: O _{0.536} OH	_{0.328} F _{0.136} .		-	

a = 15.9853(12) Å	Space group: R3m
c = 7.1538(6) Å	MoK α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
$V = 1583.1(2) \text{ Å}^3$	Cell parameters from 2936 reflections
Z = 3	-
Elongated grain, brown	$0.30 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ mm
$\theta = 2.9 - 36.1^{\circ}$	$(-26 \le h \le 18, -25 \le k \le 23, -8 \le l \le 11)$
$\mu = 2.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	F(000) = 1501
T = 293 K	
Reflections measured:	4166
Independent reflections:	1380
Reflections $> 2\sigma$:	1285
$R[F^2 \ge 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0191$	(Δ/σ) max = 0.001
$wR(F^2) = 0.0400$	extinction coef. = $0.00058(10)$
S = 0.98	96 parameters refined
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.65 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

484 TABLE 12. FRACTIONAL ATOMIC COORDINATES AND ISOTROPIC OR EQUIVALENT ISOTROPIC

DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (Å²) FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM PŘIBYSLAVICE

Site		x/a	y/b	z/c	Uiso*/Ueq	Occup.
X	Na	0	0	0.9019 (5)	0.0266 (11)	0.676(10)
Y	Fe	0.87496 (3)	0.937481 (16)	0.50264 (6)	0.00869 (12)	0.621(4)
	Al	0.87496 (3)	0.937481 (16)	0.50264 (6)	0.00869 (12)	0.379(4)
Ζ	Al	0.70355 (3)	0.63191 (3)	-0.14783 (6)	0.00590 (13)	0.974(3)
	Fe	0.70355 (3)	0.63191 (3)	-0.14783 (6)	0.00590 (13)	0.026(3)
Т	Si	0.80806 (3)	0.81008 (3)	0.12963 (6)	0.00569 (10)	
01	01	0	0	0.3485 (5)	0.0363 (9)	0.69**
	F	0	0	0.3485 (5)	0.0363 (9)	0.31**
O2	02	0.93822 (6)	0.87643 (12)	0.6435 (3)	0.0151 (4)	
03	03	0.73144 (14)	0.86572 (7)	0.6201 (2)	0.0123 (3)	
O4	04	0.81267 (12)	0.90634 (6)	0.0387 (2)	0.0103 (3)	
05	05	0.18631 (12)	0.09316 (6)	0.0618 (2)	0.0104 (3)	
06	06	0.80182 (8)	0.81238 (8)	0.35415 (17)	0.0089 (2)	
07	07	0.71481 (8)	0.71419 (8)	0.05039 (16)	0.0086 (2)	
08	08	0.79017 (8)	0.72936 (8)	-0.31139 (16)	0.0097 (2)	
В	В	0.88991 (10)	0.77981 (19)	0.6753 (4)	0.0076 (4)	
H3	H3	0.735 (2)	0.8677 (12)	0.732 (5)	0.21 (2)*	

* Isotropic displacement parameter ($Å^2$). **Fixed according to EMPA analyses.

TABLE 13. ANISOTROPIC DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (\AA^2) FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM

Přibyslavice

491

Site	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
X	0.0267 (13)	0.0267 (13)	0.0263 (18)	0.0134 (7)	0	0
Y	0.0087 (2)	0.00611 (15)	0.0121 (2)	0.00433 (10)	-0.00216 (15)	-0.00108 (7)
Ζ	0.0062 (2)	0.0058 (2)	0.0058 (2)	0.00309 (18)	0.00034 (15)	-0.00008 (16)
Т	0.0054 (2)	0.00520 (19)	0.0064 (2)	0.00266 (15)	-0.00021 (15)	-0.00044 (14)
01	0.0494 (15)	0.0494 (15)	0.0102 (15)	0.0247 (8)	0	0
O2	0.0207 (7)	0.0069 (7)	0.0132 (8)	0.0035 (4)	0.0004 (3)	0.0008 (6)
O3	0.0213 (9)	0.0123 (6)	0.0063 (7)	0.0107 (4)	0.0007 (6)	0.0004 (3)
O4	0.0145 (8)	0.0074 (5)	0.0114 (8)	0.0072 (4)	0.0011 (6)	0.0006 (3)
05	0.0149 (8)	0.0082 (5)	0.0105 (7)	0.0075 (4)	0.0012 (6)	0.0006 (3)
06	0.0090 (5)	0.0103 (5)	0.0070 (5)	0.0047 (4)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0009 (4)
O7	0.0088 (5)	0.0068 (5)	0.0082 (5)	0.0024 (4)	-0.0009 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
08	0.0075 (5)	0.0115 (5)	0.0111 (5)	0.0054 (5)	0.0010 (4)	0.0021 (4)
В	0.0077 (7)	0.0074 (10)	0.0074 (10)	0.0037 (5)	0.0000 (4)	0.0000 (8)

492



TABLE 14. SELECTED BOND LENGTHS FOR OXY-SCHORL FROM PŘIBYSLAVICE

494

				1			
Site	Anion	Distance	s.d.	Site	Anion	Distance	s.d.
X	O2 ⁱ	2.519	(3)	Ζ	O6 ^{xiii}	1.8615	(13)
	$O2^{ii}$	2.519	(3)		O7	1.8804	(12)
	$O2^{iii}$	2.519	(3)		08	1.8857	(12)
	O4 ^{iv}	2.772	(2)		O8 ^{xiv}	1.9264	(12)
	O4 ^v	2.772	(2)		O7 ^{xv}	1.9589	(12)
	O4 ^{vi}	2.772	(2)		O3 ^{xiii}	1.9814	(9)
	O5 ^{vii}	2.821	(2)		avg.	1.916	
	O5 ^{viii}	2.821	(2)				
	O5 ^{ix}	2.821	(2)	T	O6	1.6108	(13)
	avg.	2.704			O7	1.6149	(11)
					O5 ^{xvi}	1.6253	(7)
Y	O2	1.9941	(12)		O4	1.638	(8)
	$O2^{x}$	1.9942	(12)			1.622	
	O6 ^{xi}	2.0387	(13)				
	O6	2.0387	(13)	B	O2	1.357	(3)
	O1 ^{xii}	2.052	(2)		O8 ^{viii}	1.3841	(18)
	O3	2.1572	(19)		O8 ^{xvii}	1.3841	(18)
	avg.	2.046			avg.	1.375	

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1, y-1, z; (ii) -x+y, -x+1, z; (iii) -y+1, x-y, z; (iv) -x+y, -x+1, z+1; (v) x-1, y-1, z+1; (vi) -y+1, x-y, z+1; (vii) -y, x-y, z+1; (viii) x, y, z+1; (ix) -x+y, -x, z+1; (x) -x+y+1, -x+2, z; (xi) x, x-y+1, z; (xii) x+1, y+1, z; (xiii) -x+y+2/3, -x+4/3, z-2/3; (xiv) -x+y+2/3, -x+4/3, z+1/3; (xv) -y+4/3, x-y+2/3, z-1/3; (xvi) -x+y+1, -x+1, z; (xvii) -x+y+1, y, z+1.

	X	Y	Ζ	В	Т	Σ	
01*		0.363				1.088	
02	0.091	0.466		1.039		2.063	
	0.091	0.466					
	0.091						
O3*		0.298	0.411			1.119	
04	0.046				0.965	1.975	
	0.046						
	0.046						
05	0.040				0.997	2.033	
	0.040						
	0.040						
O6		0.415	0.571		1.033	2.020	
		0.415					
07			0.545		1.021	2.005	
			0.439				
O8			0.535	0.964		1.978	
			0.480	0.964			
Σ	0.531	2.423	2.980	2.966	4.015		
IC(avg)	0.632	2.400	2.968	3.000	3.991		
Δ	0.101	-0.024	-0.012	0.034	-0.025		
IC(avg) = average ionic charge of atoms occupying the site. *Hydrogen bond donor.							

Figure 1



Figure 2





