

1 **Revision 2**

2 **On the use of unpolarized infrared spectroscopy for quantitative analysis of absorbing**
3 **species in birefringent crystals**

4 ANTHONY C. WITHERS

5 Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, 108 Pillsbury Hall, Minneapolis,

6 Minnesota 55455, U.S.A.

7 e-mail: wITHERS@umn.edu

8
9 **ABSTRACT**

10 There is an understandable desire to use simple unpolarized infrared analysis of unoriented
11 anisotropic samples to extract quantitative information, rather than using more demanding
12 polarized techniques. Owing to the fact that unpolarized infrared absorbance in birefringent
13 media deviates from the Beer-Lambert law, previous studies have either warned against using
14 unpolarized spectroscopy for quantitative purposes, or have used flawed error analysis to justify
15 using simple averages of integrated absorbance of multiple absorbance bands as a proxy for total
16 integrated polarized absorbance in the principal spectra. It is shown here that unpolarized
17 infrared absorbance is correctly calculated by averaging in the transmission domain. The errors
18 in estimates of principal absorbance by averaging of unpolarized absorbance spectra are
19 evaluated using correct theory of unpolarized infrared transmission. Correction schemes for
20 integrated absorbance based on linear-absorbance error calculations are shown to be
21 inappropriate. A theory is developed that allows the sum of the polarized principal absorbance
22 spectra to be estimated from multiple unpolarized measurements of randomly oriented samples.
23 The systematic errors that arise when averaging in the absorbance domain are avoided by use of

47 unpolarized absorbance is used as a proxy for total polarized absorbance. In calculating the
48 errors associated with the approximation, Sambridge et al. (2008) developed an alternative
49 theory for unpolarized absorbance that differs from that given by Libowitzky and Rossman
50 (1996). Here I will show that the theory originally presented in Libowitzky and Rossman (1996)
51 is, in fact, correct. The errors associated with unpolarized measurements will be calculated for
52 some specific examples.

53 THEORY

54 The intensity of light, I , measured at a distance d after passing through an absorbing medium
55 is described by the relationship

$$56 \quad I = I_0 e^{-\alpha cd}, \quad (1)$$

57 where I_0 is the intensity of light entering the medium, α is the molar absorption coefficient and c
58 is the concentration of the absorbing species. The dimensionless quantities transmittance (T) and
59 absorbance (A_n) are defined as

$$60 \quad T = I/I_0 \quad (2)$$

61 and

$$62 \quad A_n = -\ln(T) = \alpha cd. \quad (3)$$

63 By convention, the decadic absorbance, A , is used for many practical applications:

$$64 \quad A = -\log_{10}(T) = \epsilon cd, \quad (4)$$

65 where ϵ is the decadic molar absorption coefficient, such that

66 $I = I_0 10^{-\epsilon cd}$. (5)

67 The natural absorbance (A_n) and corresponding molar absorption coefficient (α) differ from the
68 base 10 equivalents (A and ϵ , respectively) by a constant factor of $\ln(10)$. Since the latter are used
69 in the commercial software provided by the manufacturers of Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)
70 spectrometers and by convention are preferred in many fields of quantitative analysis,
71 absorbance hereafter will refer to base 10 absorbance. The choice of logarithm base has no effect
72 on any of the results presented here.

73 The Beer-Lambert law, which is expressed in Equation 4, states that absorbance is
74 proportional to the product of concentration and sample thickness. It is important to note here
75 that absorbance (the exponent in Eq. 5) cannot be measured directly: the physical measurements
76 made in IR absorption spectroscopy are of the intensities of light, detected either after
77 transmission through the sample (I), or without a sample in place as a measurement of the
78 ‘background’ intensity (I_0). The FTIR spectrometer allows the analyst to measure the intensity of
79 light as a function of frequency, resulting in a spectrum that may be displayed in units of
80 transmittance or absorbance. The measurement, however, is made in the transmission domain.

81 Unpolarized light can be thought of as a mixture of plane waves with linear polarizations
82 whose vibration directions are uniformly distributed in the plane perpendicular to the
83 propagation direction. When an unpolarized beam of intensity I_0 is incident on an absorbing
84 birefringent crystal, light of a given frequency is split into two polarized rays that can in general
85 be considered as orthogonal, linearly polarized waves (e.g., Sambridge et al. 2008). The incident
86 light intensity in each of the wave vibration directions then is equal to $I_0/2$. The intensity of light
87 emerging from the crystal after travelling along either one of these ray paths may be measured by

88 inserting a polarizer into the beam path. Transmittance as a function of polarization direction is
89 given by:

$$90 \quad T(\theta) = T_{\max}\cos^2\theta + T_{\min}\sin^2\theta, \quad (6)$$

91 where T_{\max} and T_{\min} are the maximum and minimum transmittance values measured as the
92 polarizer is rotated, and θ is the angle between the direction of polarization during the
93 measurement and the polarizer orientation where $T = T_{\max}$ (Libowitzky and Rossman 1996;
94 Sambridge et al. 2008). This relationship was derived elegantly by Sambridge et al. (2008) and
95 can be extended to calculate T for any vibration direction as a function of the values of T for
96 vibration directions parallel to the 3 principal axes of the absorption indicatrix (e.g., Asimow et
97 al. 2006; Sambridge et al. 2008).

98 Combining Equations 2 and 6, for any two mutually perpendicular polarization directions $\theta =$
99 ξ and $\theta = \xi + 90^\circ$, the total intensity of the recombined light emerging from the crystal will be

$$100 \quad I = I_0/2 \times (T_{\max}\cos^2\xi + T_{\min}\sin^2\xi + T_{\max}\cos^2(\xi+90^\circ) + T_{\min}\sin^2(\xi+90^\circ)) = I_0/2 \times (T_{\max} + T_{\min}) \quad (7)$$

101 Thus, the intensity of the recombined light emerging from the crystal with no polarizer in place
102 leads to an unpolarized transmittance (T_{unpol}) of

$$103 \quad T_{\text{unpol}} = (T_{\max} + T_{\min})/2. \quad (8)$$

104 The value of A calculated from the measured light intensity is therefore:

$$105 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = -\log_{10} \left(\frac{T_{\max} + T_{\min}}{2} \right). \quad (9)$$

106 This theoretical development arrives at a result identical to Libowitzky and Rossman (1996),
107 who stated that T_{unpol} is the average value of transmittance for all vibration directions in the plane
108 of the sample, given by integration of Equation 6:

$$109 \quad T_{\text{unpol}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T(\theta) d\theta = \frac{(T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}})}{2}. \quad (10)$$

110 The foregoing theory is in contrast, however, to the suggestion of Sambridge et al. (2008)
111 that A_{unpol} should be calculated by integration of $A(\theta)$, rather than $T(\theta)$. The formulation of
112 Sambridge et al. (2008) has been adopted by Kovács et al. (2008), who claim that Libowitzky
113 and Rossman (1996) are incorrect in their statement of Equation 10. The justification given by
114 Sambridge et al. (2008) for their alternative theory of unpolarized absorption is that
115 “spectroscopic measurements of IR radiation are often carried out using absorbance, A , rather
116 than transmittance, T ”. As I have shown in the preceding theoretical development, the reverse is
117 true: when a measurement is made with unpolarized light it is the absorbances in the directions
118 of the electric vectors of the two rays that determine the intensity of light transmitted through the
119 crystal, but the unpolarized absorbance spectrum is calculated (via the commercial software
120 provided with the spectrometer) from the measured intensity of transmitted light. In other words,
121 the intensity of light transmitted through the crystal is correctly calculated by addition in the
122 transmission domain.

123 Note that Equations 7 and 8 imply that the sum of light intensities measured sequentially
124 with any two perpendicular polarizer orientations is equal to the intensity measured without the
125 polarizer in place. In contrast, the theory of Sambridge et al. (2008) contravenes this physical
126 expectation. Consider, also, the case of a highly polarizing sample where T_{max} approaches 1 and
127 T_{min} approaches 0 (As $A \rightarrow \infty$, $10^{-A} \rightarrow 0$). Clearly, Equation 8 in this extreme case returns the

128 expected result of $T_{\text{unpol}}=0.5$ and hence $I=I_0/2$, while integration of A implies $T_{\text{unpol}}=0.25$, i.e.,
129 $I=I_0/4$. The analytical solution leading to this result is presented in the Appendix.

130 The principal aim of Sambridge et al. (2008) and the companion paper, Kovács et al. (2008),
131 is to show the conditions under which averages of unpolarized infrared spectra make a good
132 approximation to the total polarized absorbance, and to evaluate the errors associated with that
133 approximation. Adopting an inaccurate expression for calculating A_{unpol} leads to underestimation
134 of errors. In the following section I will demonstrate the correctness of the theory outlined above
135 by comparison with measured spectra from the literature, and then use the correct theory to
136 reevaluate the errors associated with unpolarized infrared spectroscopy. Finally, a new protocol
137 is developed for estimating the sum of principal polarized absorbances from unpolarized spectra.

138 RESULTS

139 **Comparison with measured spectra**

140 When an infrared measurement is made in transmission mode, the absorbance measured
141 using unpolarized infrared light is close to the average of the maximum and minimum values for
142 polarized absorption only when the maximum absorption intensity is sufficiently small (e.g.,
143 Sambridge et al. 2008). The extent of deviation of the unpolarized absorption from the average
144 of maximum and minimum polarized absorbances was calculated by Sambridge et al. (2008)
145 using an inaccurate formulation for unpolarized absorption, as expressed in the appendix of that
146 paper. In order to illustrate that the expressions for unpolarized absorbance given by Libowitzky
147 and Rossman (1996) and in Equations 8 and 9 of this study are consistent with observation, and
148 to show the real deviation of unpolarized absorption from the average polarized absorption, it is
149 necessary to compare polarized and unpolarized measurements. The ideal sample for this
150 purpose would exhibit strongly anisotropic absorbance and high maximum absorbance values,

151 while remaining within the realm of analysis conditions that are applied to real samples. One of
152 the most common applications of FTIR spectroscopy in Earth sciences is the measurement of H
153 in olivine, a mineral that exhibits exactly these properties. Figure 1 compares polarized and
154 unpolarized FTIR measurements in the OH stretching region of an olivine crystal from Kohlstedt
155 et al. (1996). In this case, where the olivine is oriented such that the direction of light
156 propagation is parallel to the crystallographic **b** axis, the maximum and minimum transmittance
157 values at each frequency are always observed with the polarizer oriented parallel to either the **a**
158 or **c** axis. The unpolarized absorbance, according to Libowitzky and Rossman (1996), is then
159 given by:

$$160 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = -\log_{10} \left(\frac{10^{-A_a} + 10^{-A_c}}{2} \right), \quad (11)$$

161 where A_a and A_c are the absorbance values for polarization directions parallel to crystallographic
162 **a** and **c** axes, respectively. Note that the absorbance spectra in Figure 1 are normalized by sample
163 thickness. Owing to the fact that unpolarized absorbance does not scale linearly with thickness,
164 the values of A_a and A_c used in the calculation are those recorded from the original 126 μm thick
165 sample (the sample thickness is recorded in the electronic data file provided by David Kohlstedt)
166 and can be read from the right axis of Figure 1. The unpolarized absorbance according to
167 Sambridge et al. (2008) is calculated as

$$168 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} A(\theta) d\theta, \quad (12)$$

169 where

$$170 \quad A(\theta) = -\log_{10}(10^{-A_a} \cos^2 \theta + 10^{-A_c} \sin^2 \theta), \quad (13)$$

171 and θ is the angle between the **a** axis and the vibration direction. A Gaussian quadrature
172 algorithm was used for the numerical integration of $A(\theta)$.

173 The measured unpolarized spectrum is matched exactly by the unpolarized spectrum
174 calculated from the measured polarized spectra using Equation 11. In contrast, the alternative
175 theory of Sambridge et al. (2008) overestimates the measured spectrum significantly in the
176 region between 3570 and 3620 cm^{-1} where the maximum and minimum absorbances are strongly
177 offset. Note also that for much of the OH stretching region, the unpolarized absorption in this
178 example is indeed close to the average of the polarized values, suggesting that the difference
179 between integrated unpolarized absorbances and average polarized absorbances may be smaller
180 than the difference in the linear absorbances close to the maxima. A similar verification of
181 Equation 11 is demonstrated in Figure 6 of Libowitzky and Rossman (1996), using a calcite
182 section that displays even more intensely anisotropic absorption bands than does the olivine in
183 Figure 1.

184 **Variations with orientation and thickness**

185 The usefulness of unpolarized spectra from randomly oriented anisotropic samples for
186 quantitative analysis is determined principally by two factors: the convergence of the unpolarized
187 absorbance to a single value, which determines the precision, and the deviation of that value
188 from the polarized absorbance, which detracts from the accuracy of the measurement. To address
189 each of these sources of uncertainty, large populations of unpolarized spectra have been
190 calculated from a set of reference polarized spectra.

191 The reference spectra used for most of the following calculations are taken from Withers et
192 al. (2011), and consist of principal polarized spectra covering the OH stretching region from an
193 olivine that was hydrated in a high-pressure hydrothermal experiment, resulting in the

194 incorporation of 0.2 wt.% H₂O as structurally bound OH groups (Withers et al. 2012). Owing to
195 the high concentration of OH, the sample exhibits intense absorption bands (Figure 2). The
196 highest-frequency peaks at 3612, 3598 and 3579 cm⁻¹ have strong absorbance in the **E||a**
197 spectrum and weak absorbance in the other principal axis spectra. Another sharp peak at 3566
198 cm⁻¹ appears prevalently in the **E||c** spectrum, while the broader absorption band centered around
199 3550 cm⁻¹ has strongest absorption in the **E||b** spectrum, and shows less anisotropy than the
200 higher-frequency peaks. This pattern of relative absorption intensity in the principal polarized
201 absorbance spectra is typical of olivines from high-pressure hydrothermal experiments (e.g.,
202 Lemaire et al. 2004; Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Smyth et al. 2006; Litasov et al. 2007), but quite
203 distinct from many natural and lower-pressure experimental samples (e.g., Miller et al. 1987; Bai
204 and Kohlstedt 1993; Bell et al. 2003).

205 The principal polarized spectra shown in Figure 2 are normalized to a thickness of 1 cm.
206 Because principal polarized (but not unpolarized) absorbance follows the Beer-Lambert law
207 (Libowitzky and Rossmann 1996), the spectra can be scaled to any thickness (or concentration)
208 of interest. The following describes how unpolarized spectra are then calculated from the
209 principal axis spectra.

210 A spherical coordinate system with azimuthal angle ψ and polar angle φ is defined such that
211 for an olivine crystal the crystallographic **c** direction corresponds to $\varphi=0$, and **a** and **b** directions
212 correspond to $(\psi, \varphi) = (\pi/2, 0)$ and $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, respectively. Taking the principal polarized
213 absorbances A_a , A_b and A_c as inputs, Sambridge et al. (2008) derive an equation that describes
214 A_{unpol} as a function of incident light direction (their Eq. 60). In the derivation of that equation, an
215 approximation is made whereby the functional form that describes the orientational dependence
216 of transmittance is used for absorbance. Because unpolarized absorbance is correctly calculated

217 by integration in the transmission domain, it is valid simply to replace A with T in the Sambridge
218 et al. (2008) equation, leading to an exact description of T_{unpol} as a function of incident light
219 direction. The unpolarized absorbance for incident direction of (ψ, ϕ) then is given by:

$$220 \quad A_{\text{unpol}}(\psi, \phi) = -\log_{10} \left(\frac{1}{2}(T_{\mathbf{a}}(\cos^2 \phi \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \psi) + T_{\mathbf{b}}(\cos^2 \phi \sin^2 \psi + \cos^2 \psi) + \right. \\ 221 \quad \left. T_{\mathbf{c}} \sin^2 \phi) \right) \quad (14)$$

222 Choosing any two orthogonal vibration directions in the plane of the sample and calculating
223 T from the equation that describes the three dimensional transmission indicatrix (e.g., Asimow et
224 al. 2006; Sambridge et al. 2008) and then applying Equations 8 and 9 leads to the same result as
225 Equation 14. The unpolarized spectrum is calculated from the reference spectra by applying
226 Equation 14 at each frequency of the IR spectrum.

227 A pseudo-uniform distribution of 10,242 incident light directions was calculated by recursive
228 icosahedral subdivision with projection onto a spherical surface. For a given scaling of the
229 reference spectra, an unpolarized spectrum was calculated for each of the 10,242 directions of
230 incident light. Each of the unpolarized spectra shown in Figure 2 represents the average of
231 10,242 spectra calculated in this way. With increasing absorbance (i.e., increasing thickness or
232 concentration), absorbance bands that are strongly anisotropic in the principal polarized spectra
233 decrease in intensity in the unpolarized spectrum relative to more isotropic absorption bands.
234 Thus, in Figure 2 the highest peak in the 30 μm spectrum corresponds to the most intense but
235 most strongly anisotropic 3612 cm^{-1} peak in the principal spectra, while at 50 μm the 3612 and
236 3579 cm^{-1} peaks have equal height, and in the 100 μm spectrum the maximum absorbance is
237 found at 3566 cm^{-1} , the position of the absorption band that is strongest in the $\mathbf{E}||\mathbf{c}$ principal
238 spectrum, but which is convolved with the broader but more isotropic absorption band centered

239 around 3550 cm^{-1} . When multiple absorption bands are convolved, as is usually the case for OH
240 bands in olivine, it is convenient to use integrated absorbance, which will be denoted by $\int A$, as a
241 measure of their combined absorbance. Figure 2 illustrates how it is the general degree of
242 anisotropy over all of the absorption bands in the spectrum that determines how far the integrated
243 absorbance of the unpolarized spectrum deviates from the average integrated principal spectra.
244 Thus, in an unpolarized spectrum that consists principally of absorption bands related to highly
245 anisotropic peaks such as the high-frequency peaks in the reference spectra in this example, the
246 average integrated unpolarized absorbance ($\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$) will deviate strongly from the average of
247 the integrated principal polarized absorbances ($\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$), while a spectrum that includes a
248 significant component of more isotropic absorbance, like the broader absorbance peaks at lower
249 frequency in the example spectra, will have $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ closer to $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$.

250 Figure 3 shows histograms of $\int A_{\text{unpol}}$ for the three populations of unpolarized spectra
251 corresponding to 30, 50 and 100 μm thicknesses of the olivine represented by the reference
252 spectra in shown in Figure 2. With increasing absorbance in the reference spectra, $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$
253 deviates further from $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$. For this example, at 30 μm thickness the maximum linear
254 absorbance in the unpolarized spectra is in the range of 0.13-0.27 absorbance units, and $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$
255 is within 8% of $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$. In this particular case, even though the analytical conditions are such that
256 the maximum linear polarized absorbance is greater than the limit of 0.3 absorbance units
257 proposed by Kovács et al. (2008), $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ does in fact provide a reasonable estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$.
258 As the total absorbance increases, $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ provides a progressively inaccurate estimate of
259 $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$. The entire population of $\int A_{\text{unpol}}$ for unpolarized spectra calculated for 100 μm thick
260 samples is lower than $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$, and $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ underestimates $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$ by 19%. The maximum linear
261 absorbance in the unpolarized spectra varies between 0.39 and 0.60 absorbance units which,

262 while higher than ideal, is within the range of values of published measurements of strongly H-
263 enriched olivines from high-pressure experiments (e.g., Kohlstedt et al. 1996). Many such
264 olivines, even when prepared with the minimum practicable sample thickness, have sufficiently
265 strong absorbance in the region of OH stretching that $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ must depart significantly from
266 $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$ (e.g., Mosenfelder et al. 2006; Smyth et al. 2006; Litasov et al. 2007). If unpolarized
267 measurements of strongly absorbing samples could be corrected to allow accurate estimation of
268 total absorbance the ease of measurement would be greatly reduced. The merits of one such
269 method of correction are discussed below.

270 **Corrections based on linear absorbance**

271 It has been proposed that the maximum linear absorbance observed in unpolarized spectra
272 may be used to calculate a correction factor to account for the divergence of $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ from $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$
273 when non-ideal analytical conditions result in high absorbance values in the regions where strong
274 absorption bands occur (Kovács et al. 2010). A measure of linear absorbance, however, contains
275 no information about absorbance bands in other regions of the spectrum that do not extend to the
276 frequency of the linear measurement. As I have demonstrated above, $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ becomes closer to
277 $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$ when a significant proportion of the spectrum is more isotropic in nature, so basing a
278 correction on a maximum linear absorbance value that is influenced principally by a strongly
279 absorbing and highly anisotropic absorbance band can lead to overestimation of the correction
280 factor. When the correct theory of unpolarized measurement is used for the calculation of linear
281 absorbance, the two-dimensional linear-absorbance error estimates of Kovács et al. (2008) and
282 Kovács et al. (2010) increase by about a factor of 2 (Note that in the plots of linear-absorbance
283 errors calculated using the A-integral theory for unpolarized measurement shown in Figures 1
284 and 10 of Kovács et al. 2008, in the electronic supplement to that paper, and also in the

285 Appendix of Sambridge et al. 2008, several of the contours are wrongly labeled). The correction
286 for integrated absorbance, on the other hand, would be significantly overestimated by use of a
287 linear-absorbance error estimate. This is illustrated in Figure 4, wherein the correction factor,
288 defined as the ratio of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ to $3 \times \int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$, is plotted against the average maximum linear
289 absorption for the entire population of calculated unpolarized spectra with a given thickness. The
290 curve labeled ‘experimental’ is defined by the reference spectra shown in Figure 2. Note the
291 point of inflection in the curve at maximum linear unpolarized absorbance of 0.24, which
292 corresponds to the point at which the maximum linear unpolarized absorbance switches from
293 3612 cm^{-1} to lower-frequency peaks (see also Fig. 2). The correction factors calculated from the
294 average of calculated unpolarized spectra are valid only for the specific principal polarized
295 spectra that were used to calculate the unpolarized spectra. When the same calculation is
296 performed using the principal polarized spectra of a natural olivine (KLV23 of Bell et al. 2003),
297 the correction factors fall on a different curve to that defined by the experimental sample (Fig. 4).
298 Other samples with different relative populations of OH species would each have their own
299 sample-specific correction scheme. For example, the Pakistani olivine of Kovács et al. (2008),
300 which has very strong absorbance in $\mathbf{E}||\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{E}||\mathbf{b}$ spectra relative to the $\mathbf{E}||\mathbf{c}$ spectrum over much
301 of the range of OH absorbance, would be expected to have a very large correction factor for high
302 absorption intensities. Of course, in a natural olivine with low H concentrations the sample
303 thickness may be reduced to limit the peak absorption intensities. The purpose of the calculation,
304 however, is to illustrate how the correction factor varies as the absorption profiles of the
305 reference spectra change. The different trends in Figure 4 show that, even though the correction
306 factor does appear to change somewhat systematically with the average maximum linear
307 unpolarized absorbance, the relationship varies from one sample to another.

308 While unpolarized olivine spectra with maximum polarized absorbances that do not exceed
309 0.3 absorbance units provide good estimates for $\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$, it is difficult to estimate the maximum
310 polarized absorbance from unpolarized spectra. Indeed, for a very strongly polarized band that
311 has a minimum polarized absorbance of 0 in the plane of the sample, the unpolarized absorption
312 cannot exceed 0.3 absorbance units (Eq. 9), regardless of the maximum value of polarized
313 absorbance, and how correspondingly large a correction factor would be needed. It is
314 consequently difficult to make a general recommendation for an acceptable level of unpolarized
315 absorbance (Fig. 4).

316 The important point is that correction factors based on empirical correlations with a linear
317 absorbance are not transferrable between samples. In the following section a protocol is
318 described that allows the estimation of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ from multiple unpolarized spectra, without need for
319 empirical correction.

320 **Estimation of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ from unpolarized measurements**

321 Despite the offset between $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ and $\int A_{\text{tot}}$, it is theoretically possible to estimate $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ from
322 unpolarized measurements provided that one works in the transmission domain. The technique
323 described by Sambridge et al. (2008) for estimating $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ from unpolarized measurements is
324 based on the approximation of describing the absorbance indicatrix with the equation that
325 correctly describes the transmittance indicatrix. By working in the transmission domain, and
326 using the correct theory of unpolarized absorbance (Eq. 8 and 9), it is possible to estimate $\int A_{\text{tot}}$
327 without approximation. The following theoretical development follows that set out in Sambridge
328 et al. (2008) for absorbance, i.e., the equations applied as an approximation in the absorbance
329 domain are in fact applicable without approximation in the transmission domain.

330 As established previously (Eq. 14), unpolarized transmittance, T_{unpol} , is related to the
331 principal polarized transmittances as:

$$332 \quad T_{\text{unpol}}(\psi, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mathbf{a}}(\cos^2 \varphi \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \psi) + T_{\mathbf{b}}(\cos^2 \varphi \sin^2 \psi + \cos^2 \psi) + T_{\mathbf{c}} \sin^2 \varphi) \quad (15)$$

333 Following the same reasoning that Sambridge et al. (2008) used to estimate A_{unpol} , the principal
334 polarized transmittances can be related to the maximum, minimum and average unpolarized
335 transmittance ($T_{\text{unpol, max}}$, $T_{\text{unpol, min}}$, and $T_{\text{unpol, av}}$, respectively). By integrating Equation 15 over
336 all angles (ψ, φ) one obtains $T_{\text{unpol, av}} = \frac{1}{3}(T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{int}} + T_{\text{min}})$, where T_{max} , T_{int} and T_{min} are principal
337 polarized transmittance and $T_{\text{max}} > T_{\text{int}} > T_{\text{min}}$. By differentiation of Equation 15 with respect to ψ
338 and φ the maximum and minimum unpolarized transmittances, $T_{\text{unpol, max}}$, and $T_{\text{unpol, min}}$, are
339 shown to be equal to $\frac{1}{2}(T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{int}})$ and $\frac{1}{2}(T_{\text{min}} + T_{\text{int}})$, respectively. Thus,

$$340 \quad T_{\text{min}} = 3T_{\text{unpol, av}} - 2T_{\text{unpol, max}} \quad (16a)$$

$$341 \quad T_{\text{int}} = 2(T_{\text{unpol, min}} + T_{\text{unpol, max}}) - 3T_{\text{unpol, av}} \quad (16b)$$

$$342 \quad T_{\text{max}} = 3T_{\text{unpol, av}} - 2T_{\text{unpol, min}} \quad (16c)$$

343 Given a sufficiently large number of unpolarized spectra, the total polarized absorbance can
344 be estimated. Note that simply averaging in the transmission domain does not provide an
345 estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$. The average polarized absorption cannot be derived from $T_{\text{unpol, av}}$, which is
346 unfortunate, since the latter can be estimated relatively precisely. By applying Equations 16a-
347 16c, each of the three principal polarized absorbances can be estimated, though at a given
348 frequency it is not possible to assign those absorbances to particular principal spectra, since the
349 distribution of relative absorbances varies with frequency. The sum of the principal absorbances

350 (A_{tot}) then is given by addition in the absorbance domain, so that an estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ can be
351 obtained in the following way:

352

- 353 1. Collect multiple unpolarized FTIR spectra from randomly oriented samples with ideally
354 constant, or else near-constant, thickness. Small variations in sample thickness may be
355 corrected in the absorbance domain.
- 356 2. Determine the average ($T_{\text{unpol,av}}$), maximum ($T_{\text{unpol,max}}$) and minimum ($T_{\text{unpol,min}}$) transmittance
357 at each recorded frequency.
- 358 3. Create a total absorbance spectrum by calculating absorbance at each frequency as:

$$A_{\text{tot}} = -\log_{10} \left((3T_{\text{unpol,av}} - 2T_{\text{unpol,max}})(2T_{\text{unpol,min}} + 2T_{\text{unpol,max}} - 3T_{\text{unpol,av}})(3T_{\text{unpol,av}} - 2T_{\text{unpol,min}}) \right) \quad (17)$$

- 359 4. Integrate the total absorbance spectrum to obtain an estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$.

360

361 Unfortunately, the errors in estimated $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ tend to be much greater than those calculated by
362 Sambridge et al. (2008) for absorbance domain calculations. In fact, when measuring spectra
363 with strongly anisotropic absorbance, it is possible in a small sampling of unpolarized
364 measurements for $2T_{\text{unpol,max}}$ to exceed $3T_{\text{unpol,av}}$ in the region of the most intense absorption
365 bands, in which case Equation 17 fails. In these cases adding further measurements may allow
366 the calculation to succeed, but reducing the sample thickness is more likely to improve the
367 estimate of A_{tot} . In general, unpolarized measurements of samples where the maximum principal
368 polarized linear absorbance does not exceed 1 absorbance unit are unlikely to succumb to this

369 limitation. Under such conditions, though, simply averaging the integrated unpolarized
370 absorbance is likely to provide an acceptable estimate for $\int A_{\text{tot}}$. Applying Equation 17 removes
371 the bias toward underestimation of areas, but for a realistically low number of measurements it is
372 doubtful that the new theory improves significantly over taking an average of integrated
373 unpolarized absorption.

374 **Convergence of unpolarized measurements**

375 The number of spectra required for convergence of $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ can be estimated through a
376 numerical simulation (Fig. 5). Random directions of incidence with spherical coordinates $\theta = m\pi$
377 and $\phi = \cos^{-1}(n)$, where m and n are random variates on $[0,2)$ and $[1,-1]$, respectively, were
378 chosen using the linear congruential generator by L'Ecuyer with added Bayes-Durham shuffle
379 (Press et al. 2007). For each incident direction, an unpolarized spectrum was calculated from the
380 reference spectra shown in Figure 2 after normalization to a thickness that varied randomly
381 between 45 and 55 μm . Thickness was corrected to 50 μm in the absorbance domain, which adds
382 a small source of simulated measurement error owing to deviation from the Beer-Lambert
383 relationship, and normally distributed noise with a standard deviation equivalent to 0.001
384 absorbance units was added in the absorbance domain. Convergence of $\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ is shown in
385 Figure 5a. In this example, $3 \times \int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$ converges to 70.9 cm^{-1} , which underestimates $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ by
386 12%, in agreement with the population average calculated in the preceding section (cf. Fig. 2).
387 Using Equation 17 to estimate $\int A_{\text{tot}}$, on the other hand, results in convergence to within 0.5% of
388 the expected value of 80.2 cm^{-1} (Fig. 5b). Note, though, that there is a tradeoff between the
389 standard error resulting from Equation 17 and the systematic error of averaging absorption (Fig.
390 5). Thus, with realistic numbers of measurements ($n < 30$), $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ estimated by Equation 17 offers
391 only a minor improvement over the average of integrated unpolarized absorbances.

392 **Uncertainties associated with unpolarized measurements**

393 Without knowledge of the principal polarized spectra, it is impossible to know how far a
394 measurement of unpolarized absorbance at any particular frequency deviates from the average
395 principal polarized absorbance. This is nicely illustrated by the unpolarized spectrum shown in
396 Figure 1, where unpolarized absorbance measured in the region around 3600 cm^{-1} deviates
397 strongly from the average of A_a and A_c , while the same measured absorbance values at ~ 3560
398 cm^{-1} are very close to the average of A_a and A_c . Using multiple measurements to estimate the
399 total principal polarized absorbance by way of Equation 17 can lead to large errors over the
400 frequency ranges where principal absorbance is strongly anisotropic. This is because anisotropic
401 principal absorbance can lead to a long tailed and potentially skewed distribution of T_{unpol} , from
402 which it is difficult to estimate maximum, minimum and average values with a small sampling.
403 Moreover, with no knowledge of the principal polarized spectra and a small sampling of
404 unpolarized measurements, the uncertainty in A_{tot} estimated using Equation 17 cannot be
405 assessed. Thus, using unpolarized measurements to estimate A_{tot} , either by applying Equation 17
406 or by averaging integrated absorbance, relies on the unknown principal polarized spectra having
407 an acceptable degree of anisotropy. For this reason, incircumspect application of Equation 17 is
408 to be avoided.

409 Partial polarization of unpolarized beams within the spectrometer can provide an additional
410 source of uncertainty that is not considered in the foregoing theoretical calculations. The effects
411 of partial polarization and polarizer efficiency are discussed by Libowitzky and Rossman (1996).

412 CONCLUSIONS

- 413 1. Unpolarized absorbance is equal to the logarithm of the average of any two perpendicular
414 measurements of transmittance with polarization directions in the plane of the sample, as

415 previously stated by Libowitzky and Rossman (1996). The systematic deviation of linear
416 unpolarized absorbance from the average principal polarized absorbance is consequently
417 underestimated by alternative theories of unpolarized absorbance (Sambridge et al. 2008;
418 Kovács et al. 2008; Kovács et al. 2010).

419 2. There is no theoretical justification for applying a correction based on the deviation of a
420 linear absorbance in one part of the spectrum from the average polarized absorbance at that
421 frequency to the integral of multiple absorbance bands. The correction scheme proposed by
422 Kovács et al. (2010), while based on an underestimation of the correction factor for linear
423 unpolarized absorbance, results in over-correction when applied to integrated unpolarized
424 OH absorption bands in the olivines considered here.

425 3. Based on calculations of unpolarized spectra in the OH-stretching region from natural and
426 hydrothermally annealed olivines, averages of >10 unpolarized spectra where the maximum
427 linear absorbance does not exceed 0.3 absorbance units are expected to give a reasonable
428 estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$. This conclusion is valid only for samples where the average degree of
429 anisotropy in the principal polarized spectra is not significantly greater than that of the
430 examples used in the simulations.

431 4. Multiple unpolarized spectra of randomly oriented samples can be used to estimate $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ by
432 application of Equation 17. Given a sufficient number of measurements, the new theory
433 reproduces $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ without systematic bias, but is limited by sensitivity to the shape of the
434 unpolarized transmittance distribution. For reasonably low numbers of measurements, the
435 new procedure is not a significant improvement over averaging integrated unpolarized
436 absorbance.

437 5. The error of an estimate of $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ based on unpolarized measurements varies with the degree of
438 anisotropy of the principal polarized spectra, and cannot be estimated accurately from a small
439 sampling of unpolarized spectra.

440 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

441 David Kohstedt and David Bell kindly supplied copies of their original FTIR spectra.
442 Reviews by Jed Mosenfelder and an anonymous reviewer together with comments by Associate
443 Editor Boriana Mihailova helped improve the manuscript. I am grateful to Eugen Libowitzky for
444 discussions that reinforced my understanding of the physics of infrared absorption. This work
445 was supported by NSF award 1161023.

446 REFERENCES

- 447 Asimow, P.D., Stein, L.C., Mosenfelder, J.L., and Rossman, G.R. (2006) Quantitative polarized
448 infrared analysis of trace OH in populations of randomly oriented mineral grains. American
449 Mineralogist, 91, 278-284.
- 450 Bai, Q. and Kohlstedt, D.L. (1993) Effects of chemical environment on the solubility and
451 incorporation mechanism for hydrogen in olivine. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 19,
452 460-471.
- 453 Bell, D.R., Rossman, G.R., Maldener, J., Endisch, D., and Rauch, F. (2003) Hydroxide in
454 olivine: A quantitative determination of the absolute amount and calibration of the IR
455 spectrum. Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 108, 2105.
- 456 Euler, L. (1769) De summis serierum numeros Bernoullianos involventium. Novi Commentarii
457 Academiae Scientiarum Petropolitanae, 14, 129-167.

- 458 Kohlstedt, D.L., Keppeler, H., and Rubie, D.C. (1996) Solubility of water in the α , β and γ phases
459 of $(\text{Mg,Fe})_2\text{SiO}_4$. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 123, 345-357.
- 460 Kovács, I., Hermann, J., O'Neill, H.S.C., Gerald, J.F., Sambridge, M., and Horvath, G. (2008)
461 Quantitative absorbance spectroscopy with unpolarized light: Part II. Experimental
462 evaluation and development of a protocol for quantitative analysis of mineral IR spectra.
463 *American Mineralogist*, 93, 765-778.
- 464 Kovács, I., O'Neill, H.S.C., Hermann, J., and Hauri, E.H. (2010) Site-specific infrared O-H
465 absorption coefficients for water substitution into olivine. *American Mineralogist*, 95, 292-
466 299.
- 467 Lemaire, C., Kohn, S.C., and Brooker, R.A. (2004) The effect of silica activity on the
468 incorporation mechanisms of water in synthetic forsterite: a polarised infrared spectroscopic
469 study. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 147, 48-57.
- 470 Libowitzky, E. and Rossman, G.R. (1996) Principles of quantitative absorbance measurements in
471 anisotropic crystals. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 23, 319-327.
- 472 Litasov, K.D., Ohtani, E., Kagi, H., Jacobsen, S.D., and Ghosh, S. (2007) Temperature
473 dependence and mechanism of hydrogen incorporation in olivine at 12.5-14.0 GPa.
474 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, L16314.
- 475 Miller, G.H., Rossman, G.R., and Harlow, G.E. (1987) The natural occurrence of hydroxide in
476 olivine. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 14, 461-472.
- 477 Mosenfelder, J.L., Deligne, N.I., Asimow, P.D., and Rossman, G.R. (2006) Hydrogen
478 incorporation in olivine from 2-12 GPa. *American Mineralogist*, 91, 285-294.
- 479 Press, W.H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T., and Flannery, B.P. (2007) *Numerical recipes:*
480 *the art of scientific computing*, 1235 p. Cambridge University Press, New York.

- 481 Rossman, G.R. (2006) Analytical methods for measuring water in nominally anhydrous
482 minerals. *Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry*, 62, 1-28.
- 483 Sambridge, M., Gerald, J.F., Kovács, I., O'Neill, H.S.C., and Hermann, J. (2008) Quantitative
484 absorbance spectroscopy with unpolarized light: Part I. Physical and mathematical
485 development. *American Mineralogist*, 93, 751-764.
- 486 Smyth, J.R., Frost, D.J., Nestola, F., Holl, C.M., and Bromiley, G. (2006) Olivine hydration in
487 the deep upper mantle: Effects of temperature and silica activity. *Geophysical Research*
488 *Letters*, 33, L15301.
- 489 Withers, A.C., Hirschmann, M.M., and Tenner, T.J. (2011) The effect of Fe on olivine H₂O
490 storage capacity: Consequences for H₂O in the martian mantle. *American Mineralogist*, 96,
491 1039-1053.
- 492 Withers, A.C., Bureau, H., Raepsaet, C., and Hirschmann, M.M. (2012). Calibration of infrared
493 spectroscopy by elastic recoil detection analysis of H in synthetic olivine. *Chemical Geology*,
494 334, 92-98.

495

FIGURE CAPTIONS

496 **Figure 1**

497 Comparison between measured and calculated unpolarized infrared spectra of olivine in the OH
498 stretching region. Polarized and unpolarized spectra from an olivine that was hydrothermally
499 annealed at 5 GPa and 1100 °C are from Kohlstedt et al. (1996) and were measured in a 126 μm
500 thick section that was oriented such that the direction of light propagation was parallel to the **b**
501 axis. Polarized spectra recorded with the directions of polarization parallel to the **a** and **c** axes are
502 labeled **E||a** and **E||c**, respectively, and the unpolarized spectrum is plotted as a dashed line.
503 Unpolarized spectra were calculated from the **E||a** and **E||c** spectra using the ‘A integral’ theory
504 of Sambridge et al. (2008) and the ‘T integral’ theory of Libowitzky and Rossman (1996). The
505 average of the **E||a** and **E||c** spectra, corresponding to the ‘A-theory’ approximation of Sambridge
506 et al. (2008), is shown for comparison. Absorbance values read from the right axis are for a
507 sample thickness of 126 μm .

508 **Figure 2**

509 Calculation of average unpolarized absorbance spectra for olivine in the OH stretching region.
510 Following the method described in the text, unpolarized spectra were calculated from the
511 principal polarized spectra of an olivine containing 0.2 wt. % H₂O (M475 of Withers et al. 2011),
512 shown in the inset. For each sample thickness labeled on the plot, 10⁴ unpolarized spectra were
513 synthesized for evenly distributed directions of light propagation. Averages of calculated spectra
514 are shown.

515 **Figure 3**

516 Histograms of integrated absorbance in the range 3100-3800 cm^{-1} for populations of unpolarized
517 spectra calculated with 10^4 evenly distributed directions of light propagation in an olivine. The
518 polarized absorbance spectra used in the calculation (see Fig. 2) were scaled to the equivalent of
519 30, 50 and 100 μm thicknesses of olivine with 0.2 wt. % H_2O (or equivalent products of
520 thickness and concentration). Unpolarized absorbances were normalized to 1 cm sample
521 thickness for comparison with the average integrated absorbance of the normalized principal
522 polarized spectra, indicated by the vertical dashed line.

523 **Figure 4**

524 Correction factors, defined as the ratio of the average integrated absorbance of principal
525 polarized spectra ($\int A_{\text{tot}}/3$) to the average integrated unpolarized absorbance ($\int A_{\text{unpol, av}}$). Unbroken
526 curves represent averages of large (10^4) populations of calculated spectra with evenly distributed
527 light propagation directions (see text for details). The population-average correction factors are
528 plotted against the average maximum linear absorbance in the unpolarized spectrum. The
529 calculation is unique to a set of principal polarized spectra. Spectra from an experimental sample
530 (M475, shown in Fig. 2) and a natural sample (KLV23 of Bell et al. 2003) are used to create two
531 examples. These correction factors are not transferrable between samples that have different
532 patterns of principal polarized absorption. The correction scheme described by Kovács et al
533 (2010), which is based on the linear-absorbance error estimates of Sambridge et al. (2008)
534 calculated using the 'A integral', is also shown. The grey hatched area falls outside of the space
535 of possible population-average correction factors for a single absorption band with a Gaussian
536 shape.

537 **Figure 5**

538 (a) Convergence of averaged integrated absorbance of unpolarized infrared spectra of olivine
539 ($3 \times \int A_{\text{unpol}}$), calculated from the reference spectra shown in Figure 2 after normalization to 50 μm
540 thickness. Unpolarized spectra were calculated using Equation 14 for random directions of light
541 propagation (see text for details). Error bars are standard error of the mean. The total integrated
542 absorbance of the principal polarized spectra ($\int A_{\text{tot}}$) is marked by the dashed line. (b)
543 Convergence of estimated $\int A_{\text{tot}}$ calculated from unpolarized spectra using Equation 17.
544

545

APPENDIX 1

546 **Calculation of T_{unpol} for a perfect polarizer by integration of absorbance.**

547 According to the alternative theory of unpolarized absorption, unpolarized absorbance is
548 given by:

$$549 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log_{10}(10^{-A_{\text{max}}} \sin^2 \theta + 10^{-A_{\text{min}}} \cos^2 \theta) d\theta, \quad (18)$$

550 where θ is defined with respect to the direction of minimum absorbance (Sambridge et al. 2008).

551 For a perfect polarizer, $A_{\text{max}} = \infty$ and $A_{\text{min}} = 0$. The term inside the integral then reduces to
552 $\log_{10}(\cos^2 \theta)$:

$$553 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log_{10}(\cos^2 \theta) d\theta \quad (19)$$

554 The symmetry of the function $\log_{10}(\cos^2(\theta))$ suggests

$$555 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log_{10}(\sin^2 \theta) d\theta \quad (20)$$

$$556 \quad = -\frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log_{10}(\sin \theta) d\theta \quad (21)$$

$$557 \quad = -\frac{4}{\pi \ln 10} \int_0^{\pi/2} \ln(\sin \theta) d\theta. \quad (22)$$

558 The solution to the definite integral in Equation 22 is $-\pi/2 \ln(2)$ (Euler 1769), so:

$$559 \quad A_{\text{unpol}} = 2 \log_{10}(2) = -\log_{10}(4^{-1}), \quad (23)$$

560 leading to an incorrect value for the transmittance of a polarizer:

$$561 \quad T_{\text{unpol}} = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (24)$$











